

In The United States District Court
For The Middle District Of Pennsylvania

Alonso Perry, et al
Plaintiff

No. 1:21-CV-0421
Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson

v.

Sharon Clark - Unit Manager
Heather Halodman - Major Of Unit Managers
Houser - Deputy Of Centralized Services
Mc Mahon - Deputy Of Facility Management
Mark Gorman - Facility Manager
Nicki Paul - Correctional Superintendent Assistant
Dorina Varner - Secretary Office Inmate Grievance Appeals
John Wetzel - Executive Secretary Of Corrections
Keri Moore - Grievance Officer Assistant To Chief
Amanda West - Grievance Officer Assistant To Chief
CCTV Owners, Presidents, CEO, CFO, Shareholders
Tabb Bickell - Executive Deputy Secretary
Shirley Smea - Executive Deputy Secretary
James Logan - Smart Communications Inc.
Jon Logan - Smart Communications Inc.
I.G.W.F. Members Gray, Davis, Cooper
Beck - Business Manager
Global Tel Link Tablet Owners
Counselor - Baumgardner
2-10-Sgt, 2-10-LT, 2-10 Capt. BA Unit
Sgt. Wolf - BA Unit
Col. Conter - BA Unit
Col. Levin - BA Unit

Blaylock - Accountant 1
Sampsel - Maintenance Supervisor
Wade - CFMNT 1
Col Curtis - BA Unit
Rivello - Deputy Facility Management
2-10 Shift, 10-6 Shift BA Unit
M. Crawford - Lieutenant
Storke, Hayles - Maintenance Department
LT. HARVEY
LT. VANCE
LT. Settridge

Defendant(s)

Amended Defendants Sued In Their Individual And Official Capacities For Damages).

Amended Defendants And Amended Claims With More Definitive Statements In Response To Motion To Dismiss With Brief In Support Of Amended Defendants And Amended Claims With More Definitive Statements

PART IV FACTS

82. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is a "D" Code stability Mental Health Inmate at SCI-Rockview on B-Block S.N.U.

83. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] has mental and physical disabilities, Hypertension Disease, Anxiety Disorder, Panic Disorder, Personality Disorder, Schizoid Disorder, Schizophrenia, Paranoia, Sciatic Nerve Damage, Iliac Disc, Spinal Degeneration of L-51, L-52 Sacro Lumber Region, Osteopathic Spurring, Osteoarthritis and is issued a Back brace and Scrotal Supporter.

84. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] since June/July 2018 has requested directly to Defendant [Sharon Clark] for a job assignment on BA Unit in cell # 1001, # 1002 or # 2002, # 2003 due to medical disability.

85. Defendant [Sharon Clark] told Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] to see Sgt. Penrod about job and when Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] saw Sgt. Penrod 2-10 about job and the Sgt. Penrod told Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] yeah, Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] could get a unit job on 2-10 shift but first the Defendant [Sharon Clark] had to authorize it which the Defendant [Sharon Clark] based on discrimination Religned and said Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] cannot have the old job of stapling up 2-10 call-out sheets on BA Unit Board.

86. Defendant [Sharon Clark] then based out of discrimination had Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] placed in the back of the block on BA Unit, cell # 1023 despite Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] as a "D" Code, "O" Code, and "H" Code should be under direct supervision in the front of BA Unit officers control booth and video cameras.

87. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] approached officer Confer on 6-2 shift who operates the waiting list for BA Unit jobs 6-2 shift and Officer Confer put Plaintiff on the waiting list and then Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] began working as a BA Unit worker 6-2 shift on 11-19-18.

88. Defendant [Sharon Clark] was forcing Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] to work 7 days a week with no days off work and work

holidays and Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] worked from November 19th, 2018 to December 5th, 2018 with no days off nor holidays off.

89. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] made Defendant [Mark Garman] aware in writing that Defendant [Sharon Clark] was making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] do forced labor 7 days per week with no 2 days off and working Holidays without being allowed off and that this violated Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policy see Form DC-135A 11/26/18 Received 11/27/18 and answered 12-3-18 marked Exhibit A

90. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] on 11-27-18 wrote Defendant [Houser] an official letter about the forced labor and theft of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] pay, explaining Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid for working over 5 days and on Holidays as well as the fact that no days off was being given and by D.O.C 19c per 6 hours a day and if working over 5 days a week and holidays the same pay was to be paid. SEE: Official Letter 11-27-18 Marked Exhibit E

91. Defendant [Houser] took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] on the forced labor of making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] work 7 days a week when the DOC Policy calls for 5 days a week and making Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] work Holidays when DOC Policy calls for Holidays off knowing that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is by DOC Policy to be paid the 5 working day week. SEE: DC-135A 11/30/18 Exhibit C

92. Defendant [Nikki Paul] was made aware that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Mark Garman] and [Houser], Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] is to be paid for all the hours worked by PA DOC Policy 815 but took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] to make sure Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] get paid for the work done November 19, 2018 to December 5th, 2018. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answered 12-11-18, Exhibit D

93. Defendant [Nikki Paul] was made aware that Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not being paid for hours worked on 11/19/18 and conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Mark Garman], and

[Houser] to not take corrective action on Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] not being paid for 11/19/18. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answer 12-10-18 Exhibit G

93. Defendant [Mark Garman] personally knew that [Alfonso Percy Pew] is to be paid for all the hours worked by PA DOC Policy 816 but took no corrective action against Defendant [Sharon Clark] to make sure Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] got paid for the work done November 19, 2018 to December 5th, 2018. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-4-18 Received 12-5-18 and answered 12-11-18. Exhibit D

94. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Houser], [Nikki Paul], and [Mark Garman] engaged in a conspiracy to retaliatory remove Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from job because Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] had exposed inmates on EA Unit was not being paid for working Holidays and beyond the 5 working days as well as being denied 2 days off per week and holidays off which is forced labor so a conspiratorial plot was formed to remove Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from the job he worked from November 19, 2018 to December 5, 2018. SEE Form DC-135A 12-5-18 answered 12-10-18 Exhibit F

95. Defendant [Houser] was aware of the retaliatory conduct removal of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from job worked from November 19, 2018 to December 5, 2018 because of the conspiracy between Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Nikki Paul], and [Mark Garman] and took no corrective action to rectify the adverse actions against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] over the written complaints about forced labor and theft of pay. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-5-18 answered 12-7-18 Exhibit E

96. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] contacted the Contra Costa District Attorney Office and filed a criminal complaint against Defendant [Sharon Clark] that was sent to PA. Department of Corrections OSII Defendants [John E. Wetzel] and [Dorina Varner]. SEE: Letter 12-17-18 Mark S. Smith Esq., First assistant District Attorney Exhibit H

97. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was told by Defendant [Sharon Clark] that the reason for job removal was because of writing to Defendant [Mark Garman] and Defendant [Sharon Clark] used

intimidation against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] over grievance # 772344 so the Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] filed grievance # 774889 12/5/18 Received 12/6/18. SEE: Exhibit H
 98. Defendants [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] engaged in a conspirator plot to obstruct any investigation.

99. Defendant [Nikki Paul] rejected the grievance # 774889 stating Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was on grievance restriction and accordingly Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] by DC-ADM 804 Policy was suppose to be able to re-submit grievance # 774889 in 15 working days after 12-3-18 which was the date of the last grievance # 774284. SEE: Rejection Form 12-6-18 Exhibit J

100. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] waited 15 working days from 12-3-18 and re-submitted grievance # 774284 on 12-24-18 and Defendants [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] engaged in a conspiracy to cover up Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint so Defendant [Nikki Paul] lied and wrote Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint was untimely. SEE: Form 135A 12-24-18 Received 12-24-18 and answered 12-24-18 Exhibit K

101. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] wrote Defendant [Houser] about the violation of denying Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] access to complaint and Defendant [Houser] took no action on the Violation of policy and law. SEE: Form 135A 12-27-18 answered 1-2-19 Exhibit L

102. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] wrote Defendant [Nikki Paul] about the violation of policy and law in obstructing the processing of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint and the Defendant [Nikki Paul] continued the conspiracy with Defendants [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark]. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-27-18 it is also stamped Received 12-31-18 too Exhibit M

103. Defendant [Mark Garman] participated in the conspiracy to cover up the complaint of Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] complaint was outside DC-ADM 804 which is not true and Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] has in grievance files grievances filed that were delayed due to grievance restriction. SEE: Form DC-135A 12-27-18 Received 1-2-19 answered 1-2-19 Exhibit N

104. Defendants [John E. Wetzel] and [Dorina Varner] were written several letters directly about Defendants [Mark Garman], [Sharon Clark], [Houser], and [Nikki Paul] conspiracy of forced labor, not paying inmates on BA Unit for work performed and the conspiracy to obstruct the complaint process and no action was taken on fixing the problem to have Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] grievance # 774889 Reviewed by PA DOC. SEE: Letter 1/11/19 Exhibit D

105. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] again presented the matter of obstructing the complaint process by Defendant [Nikki Paul] and Defendant [Houser] continued the conspiracy and took no corrective action to have grievance # 774889 processed and Reviewed under law title 37 Pa. Code 93.8 SEE: Exhibit P

106. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Nikki Paul], [Houser], [Mark Garman], [John E. Wetzel], and [Dorina Varner] at all times ignored PA.DOC Policy DC-ADM 816 and defaulted procedurally on grievance # 774889 by obstructing Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] from exhausting the grievance through all 3 levels of appeals.

107. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] was not paid for BA work from 11-19-18 to 1-5-19 for pay for weekends and holidays, Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] worked. SEE: Account Exhibit Q

108. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] operates and maintains a systematic practice, custom, policy, and tradition where D-Code stability inmates on BA Unit at SCI Rockview who work are not paid for holidays and weekends and are forced to work with no days off while other Units at SCI Rockview and across the entire PA DOC prison statewide facilities, inmates are given 2 days off per week and holidays off and when they do work days off or holidays are paid 6 hours at their pay rate in accords with PA DOC Policy DC-ADM 816.

109. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] since June/July 2018 requested to be accommodated for disabilities and moved from back of block BA # 1023 to cell BA # 1001 or # 1002 the Defendant [Mark Garman] conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Halderman] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] while

knowingly permitting a list of white inmates on BA Unit to move when they desired. SEE: DC-135A 1/15/19 Received 1/16/19 answered 1-16-19 Exhibit R

110. Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Heather Halderman], [McMahon], and [Mark Garman] each conspired to Discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] who is black and has disabilities from moving to the front of Unit instead of being in back of Unit and the motivating factor is Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] Race and Gender. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/15/19 answered 1/16/19 Exhibit S

111. Defendant [McMahon] discriminated against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] disabilities based on Plaintiff Race, and Gender and took no action to move Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] from back of BA Unit to BA Unit front. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/15/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit T

112. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] followed protocol by taking a Voluntary Request To Change Cells Partner with Edward Wright which both inmates signed so that cells can be changed, the Sgt. Bowser 6-2 Shift refused to sign it and give it to Defendant [Sharon Clark] so Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] sent the form and request to Defendant [Mark Garman] who then conspired with Defendants [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Halderman] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] disabilities due to Race and Gender. SEE: Form DC-135A Voluntary Request To Change Cell Partners 1/16/19 Received 1/17/19 answered 1/17/19 Exhibit RA

113. Defendant [Mark McMahon] conspired with Defendant [Sharon Clark] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] based on Race and Gender from being moved to front of BA Unit from back of BA Unit despite white inmates being treated superiorly when requesting to move. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit V

114. Defendant [Sharon Clark] since June/July 2018 has discriminated against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Few] based on Race and Gender while exalting white inmates who are homosexual to preferential cell moves on BA Unit repeatedly in spite of the fact many moves for white inmates were made after Plaintiff

[Alfonso Percy Pew] had previously requested. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/25/19 Exhibit 3✓

115. Defendant [Heather Halderman] has an extensive history of conspiring with Defendant [Sharon Clark] and covering up for Defendant [Sharon Clark] and in this conspiracy participated with discrimination based on Race and Gender against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew]. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit X

116. Defendants [Houser], [Sharon Clark], [Heather Halderman], and [McMahon] conspired to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] based on Race, and gender ignoring Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/23/19 Exhibit 4✓

117. Defendants [Mark Garman], and [McMahon] engaged in a conspiracy with Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Heather Halderman], and [Houser] to discriminate against Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] disabilities based on Race and Gender while supporting white homosexual inmates cell moves during the same time. SEE: Form DC-135A 1/21/19 answered 1/24/19 Exhibit 5 Z

118. Defendants [Sharon Clark], [Heather Halderman], [Houser], [McMahon], and [Mark Garman] maintains on BA Unit a systematic Racist pattern, practice, custom and tradition where as white inmates, homosexuals, pedophiles, and rapist can request favorable moves repeatedly and receive racial dominance over black inmates who are denied the same privileges based upon Race and gender and to support this claim Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] offers the cell moves of [William Vaughn Clarence #NA-9893] Cell #235, [Alan Mitchell Titus # NA-3502] Cell #243, [Christopher Blake # LY-2917] Cell # 150, [David Plow # LA-1545] Cell # 216, [Fred Loury # ME-0101] Cell # 1003 as examples that BA Unit Cell move Records will show is repeated Racist based cell moves since June 2018 to date.

119. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Verner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Halderman], and [Sharon Clark]

create a BA Unit at SCI Rockview that discriminates against inmates with mental and physical disabilities to accommodate:

- (a) Wheelchair ramp accessibility in place of steps
- (b) Phone accessibility on bottom tier without climbing steps
- (c) An accessible water fountain and hot water in back of BA Unit
- (d) Accessible phone in back of BA Unit

When there is currently seven wheelchair inmates and numerous inmates with canes on BA Unit.

120. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Halderman] and [Sharon Clark] are actively discriminating against inmates with mental and physical disabilities on BA Unit SCI Rockview by:

- (e) having steps to phone access.
- (f) having No seating to use phones.
- (g) the cleaning room has step.
- (h) removing urinals out of shower rooms.
- (I) Removing urinals out of cleaning room.
- (J) Removing TV's from back of block of BA Unit.
- (K) Removing phones from back of BA Unit.
- (L) Removing water fountain from back of BA Unit.

Which actions harm disability inmates that live in back of BA block.

121. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Nikki Paul], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Heather Halderman] and [Sharon Clark] maintains a dangerous practice that is "Fire Hazard Congested" and harms inmates daily with disabilities by cramping inmates into small area and blocking back steps access

on BA Unit which is a danger to future safety. SEE: MEMO December 10th, 2018 Exhibit 11

122. Defendant [John E. Wetzel] has a long history, pattern, tradition, custom and practice of ignoring mental health and physical disabilities. SEE: Letter August 3, 2015 Exhibit 12

123. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], [Nikki Paul], [Heather Halderman], and [Sharon Clark] on BA Unit SCI Rockview operate a S.N.U. where Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] D-Code stability inmate and other mental disability inmates are discriminated against by having to endure increment weather to obtain psychotropic medications and the waiting lines are excessive with no indoor waiting the excessive waiting is in long lines in cold, rain and snow in winter and in other months it can be hot, windy and exhausting on mental health inmates.

124. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] exhausted the inmate grievance system when submitting in part grievance # 783297 on 1/22/19 on ADA Claims SEE: Exhibit 13

125. Defendants [John E. Wetzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [McMahon], [Houser], and [Sharon Clark] procedurally defaulted when Defendants et al conspired with Defendant [Nikki Paul] who rejected to process the grievance # 783297 on 1/22/19. SEE: Form DC-135A Received and answered 1/30/19 Exhibit 14

126. Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] despite the obstruction of the grievance system not having any redress avenue available through Pennsylvania Department of Corrections DC-ADM 804 still in good faith effort attempted to appeal the rejection 1/30/19

127. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], and [Nikki Paul] maintains a sham grievance system that does not afford Plaintiff [Alfonso Percy Pew] the rights guaranteed under PA Law Title 37 Pa. Code 93.8 inmate complaints.

128. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], [McMahon], [Nikki Paul], [Sharon Clark] and [Heather Halderman] maintains a system at SCI Rockview where job placement assignments hiring for block jobs is done by Unit Managers and Officers rather than a control list of hiring by Inmate Employment Office which allows for racism in hiring on block Units for block jobs by racist block staff Unit Managers and Officers.

129. Defendants [John E. Watzel], [Dorina Varner], [Mark Garman], [Houser], [McMahon], [Nikki Paul], [Sharon Clark], and [Heather Halderman] maintains on BA Unit at SCI Rockview a racist practice where cell agreements by Unit Manager and Officers is selective, bias, prejudice, favoritism based upon race, being white and gender, being homosexual, bi-sexual or transgender.

130. Defendants [John E. Watzel], and [Dorina Varner] lacks a comprehensive policy on cell agreement procedures to De-Escalate Unit Managers and Officers conducting race based moves in favor of white inmates and gender based moves in favor of homosexual, bi-sexual, transgenders monopoly on cell moves at the exclusions of black and heterosexuals.

CAUSES OF ACTIONS

Lines 92 through 130

Racial Discrimination

Gender Discrimination

Disabilities Discrimination

Hazard Fire Congested

Retaliation

Official Oppression

Failure to Protect Health and Safety

Failure to have De-Escalation Cell Agreement Move Policy
Procedures

Employment Discrimination

VIOLATIONS

Lines 82 through 130

Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 7

Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 13

Pennsylvania Constitution Article 1 Section 26

U.S. Constitution Amendment 1

U.S. Constitution Amendment 8

U.S. Constitution Amendment 14

Pa. Code Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints

American Disability Act 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134

TITLE II

Rehabilitation Act 29 U.S.C. § 794

Rehabilitation Act 504

Title VII Federal Civil Rights Act

Employment Discrimination
Prison Policy Code of Ethics
Prison Policy DC-ADM 816
Prison Policy DC-ADM 804

INJURIES

Job Economical Pay Lost
Job Back Pay
Physical injury from inmate collision on BA Unit
Physiological
Anxiety disorder exacerbated
Panic Disorder exacerbated
Anxiety disorder exacerbated
Mental health psychosis exacerbated
Hypertension Disease exacerbated
Insomnia
Weight gain eating disorder
Pain and Suffering from distance walking in back of block
Disabilities aggravated by having to walk to front of Unit for
phone, Kiosk, water fountain hot water when none are in back of
block due to removal.
Cold and sickness from having to wait in extreme lines in extreme
temperatures for psychotropic medication.

RELIEF

Declaratory Declaration of Rights

Injunction Prospective Remedial Order

Damages - Monetary Judgement \$75,000 punitive, \$50,000
compensatory each defendant

Jury By Trial Demanded

Plaintiff Cost of Suit

Plaintiff Cost of Litigation

131. AS EARLY AS JANUARY 2019 DEFENDANTS SHARON CLARK, HEATHER HALDEMAN, Housler, Mc Mahon, Nicki Paul, MARK GARMAN, John Wetzel, DORINA VARNER, Keri Moore WERE EACH AWARE that the Special Needs Unit on BA Unit Housing SMI D-Code inmates such as Plaintiff ALONSO PERCY DEW WAS FIRE CONGESTED and placed Plaintiff and others in serious risk of physical harm as disability inmate. #783297 See (Exhibit #5)
132. Plaintiff in February 2019 gave warning to Defendants DORINA VARNER, John Wetzel, Shirley Smeal, Tabb Bickell of the American Disabilities Act violations and that Plaintiff would sue in court if the matter was not corrected. #783297 See (Exhibit #6)
133. Defendants DORINA VARNER, Keri Moore, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal despite of knowing about the FIRE HAZZARD on BA Unit and Risk of Harm to SMI D-Code inmates like Plaintiff on the Special Needs Unit did nothing about the threat and took NO action to correct the danger. #783297 Plaintiff Exhausted 3/19/19 See (Exhibit #7)
134. Amended Defendant AMANDA WEST with Defendants DORINA VARNER, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal all KNEW that Plaintiff was being denied PACODE Title 37893.9 Inmate Complaints administrative due process and conspired to COVER UP GRIEVANCE #774889 by documenting a lie that there was NO evidence record of a resubmitted grievance #774889 ever being received while knowing that it was recorded by A DC-135A Request to Staff. 3-26-19 FINAL Review Answer See (Exhibit #8)
135. Plaintiff A SMI D-Code inmate while housed on BA Unit Special Need Unit regularly reported to Defendants Nicki Paul, Amended Defendant Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal and Defendants John Wetzel, DORINA VARNER and MARK GARMAN in March 2019 about Retaliation by Staff for filing grievances and specifically #783297 related to American Disability Act and NO actions were ever taken against the retaliating Staff nor actions taken to protect Plaintiff from the retaliatory conduct of Staff on BA Unit Special Needs Unit See (Exhibit #9)

136. Plaintiff a SMT, A-Cable inmate on BA Unit Special Needs 25 early 28 April 2019 made Amended Defendants Blaylock and CCTV, OWNERS, Presidents, CEO, CFO, SHAREHOLDERS and John Wetzel AWARE that Plaintiff was being robbed of High Definition Fiber Optic Digital Cable Signals that Plaintiff was paying for to receive by contract agreement See (Exhibit #10)
137. Defendants John Wetzel, Mark German, Blaylock 2/so knew and were aware that Plaintiff could not receive regular TV over the 212 stations due to defect ANTENNA that Defendants said so Plaintiff must buy cable through contract in order to see TV for which cable is to be high definition fiber optic cable digital signals. See (Exhibit #11)
138. Plaintiff on June 6th 2019 exhausted the Grievance System on Defendants CCTV, OWNERS, Presidents, CEO, CFO, SHAREHOLDERS John Wetzel, MARK GERMAN, Blaylock for receiving kickbacks financial fraud, stealing of digital signal and conversion to non-high definition, non-fiber optic, non-broadband cable which is a analog signal. # 792192 Defendants Keri Moore and Dorina Varner were personally involved in the deprival of high definition broadband fiber optic digital cable. See (Exhibit 12)
139. As early as April 2019 Plaintiff made John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal Defendants aware that Plaintiff was challenging the mail policy and state statute that restricts him as a Lifer sentenced to die in prison for his natural life from receiving nudity magazines or pornographic magazines as a heterosexual inmate which violated the principal of balance in his religion. See (Exhibit #13)
140. Defendant Nicki Paul in May 2019 ruled that Plaintiff denial of nudity magazines and pornographic magazines as frivolous. See (Exhibit #14) See (Exhibit #15)
141. On June 20th 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance procedures on the deprival of nudity and pornographic magazines which violated Plaintiff religion as a heterosexual who believes in balance because the Defendants Keri Moore, Dorina Varner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Nicki Paul promote homosexuality and transgenderism, feminization in the prison environment by allowing panties, bras, lip stick to be permitted for non-heterosexuals. See (Exhibit #16)
142. As early as May 2019 Plaintiff made Defendants MARK GERMAN, Deputy House, Deputy McMahon, Major Italdeman, John WETZEL, Shirley Smeal, Tabb Bickell, IGWF Members GRAY, DAVIS, COOPER aware that Plaintiff was challenging the denial of UN-RATED Movies being shown. See (Exhibit #17)
143. Plaintiff put Defendants German, House, McMahon, Italdeman, Wetzel, Smeal, Bickell, Gray, Davis, Cooper on notice that Plaintiff was challenging AOC Policy 7.8.1. page 1-2. See (Exhibit #18)
144. Plaintiff own financial funds which is IGWF money is spent on movies but the purchase of UN-RATED MOVIES or videos is denied. See (Exhibit #19)
145. Plaintiff on June 27th 2019 exhausted administrative remedies with Grievance system. See (Exhibit #20)

146. Defendants Keel More, Keel More, Debra Varner denied Plaintiff Administrative Due Process PA Code Title 37 § 93.9 Inmate Complaints in relation to #800323 Grievance denial of un-rated movies.
147. Plaintiff as early as April 2019 put Defendants John Wetzel, Shirley Smeal, Tabb Bickell, CCTV Owners, Presidents, CEO, CFO, Shareholders and Global TEL Link Tablet Owners and Defendant Beck on notice that Plaintiff was challenging their denial to provide adult entertainment on cable stations or Tablet that Plaintiff paid for. See (Exhibit #21)
148. Plaintiff who is sentenced to die in prison with no parole eligibility sought to receive access to adult entertainment by cable stations or USB chip to his Tablet. See (Exhibit #22)
149. Defendants Beck and German were given Notice in May 2019 that Plaintiff a Lifer was challenging the denial of access to adult entertainment through cable station as well as through USB chip on Tablet for one sentenced to die in prison without parole eligibility. See (Exhibit #23)
150. On June 27th 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals challenging the denial of adult entertainment access by cable stations, Tablet USB chips, TV USB chips and Defendants Keel More, Debra Varner, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal, John Wetzel accused Plaintiff of filing a group grievance simply because Plaintiff took a appeal of the grievance. See (Exhibit #24)
151. Plaintiff as early as April 2019 put Defendants Gray, Davis, Cooper, Wetzel, Smeal on notice that Plaintiff a Lifer with no parole was challenging the his funds IGWF money only being spent on G, PG, PG 13 movies. See (Exhibit #25)
152. Plaintiff in May 2019 sought to have his money IGWF funds provide movies other than PG, PG 13 and G rating movies challenging DC-ADM Policy 7.8.1 and Defendants Wetzel, Smeal, Bickell, Gray, McMahon, Heuser, Halderman, German to allow other ratings such as B or UN-rated. See (Exhibit #26)
153. On July 3rd 2019 Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on challenging PA-ADM Policy 7.8.1 that only allows plaintiff money IGWF funds to be spent on G, PG, PG 13 ratings movies only. See (Exhibit #27)
154. Defendants Jon Logan and James Logan as early as April 2019 was put on notice with John Wetzel that the Smart Communications Inc. mail contract was causing sabotage of inmate mail delivery. See grievance #195585 (Exhibit #28)
155. In May 2019 Plaintiff presented evidence another inmate signed Affidavit that Plaintiff mail was delivered to him. See (Exhibit #29)
156. Defendants James Logan, Jon Logan, John Wetzel Nicki Paul Mark German were made aware of the problem of electronic mail printing and has done nothing to correct wrong delivery. See (Exhibit #30)

157. Defendants John Wetzel, James Logan, John Logan are aware that Smart Communications Inc. scanning mail, printing out mail creates a problem with mail that is electronically scanned gets printed and stapled it all gets mixed and stuck together and delivered to wrong cells. Plaintiff exhausted the grievance procedure on this matter July 11th 2019 with nothing being resolved by defendants named. See (Exhibit #31)
158. In June/July 2019 Defendants Haldeman, Clark, Mc Mahon permitted the ordering of Laundry Line in cell for BB unit and BC unit but not for Plaintiff on BA unit. See (Exhibit #32)
159. In August 2019 Plaintiff put Defendants Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Gaeman, Clark on notice that while Plaintiff was being deprived of Laundry Line in cell for clothes the BB and BC Unit was receiving and providing Laundry Line for clothes. See (Exhibit #33)
160. Plaintiff cell has two blue screws in walls putting during previous administration for Laundry Lines to hanger self washed clothes the Defendants Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Gaeman, Clark, Kei Moore, Arina Vence, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal allowed some inmates to use this Laundry Line and not Plaintiff as well as provided it to BB and BC Unit but not Plaintiff on BA Unit this was exhausted in grievance on September 9th 2019. See (Exhibit #34)
161. Defendants Baumgardner, Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon Gaeman in August 2019 maintains a system that has no policy deadline time for processing of Plaintiff forms for visiting approval and phone approval. See (Exhibit #35)
162. Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Gaeman, John Wetzel took no action in June 2019 to Plaintiff process to set a deadline for authorization of forms processing which would correct the problem. See (Exhibit #36)
163. Plaintiff in June 2019 made Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Mc Mahon, Baumgardner, Gaeman aware of the problems of long delays Plaintiff experienced in May 2019. See (Exhibit #37) See (Exhibit #38)
164. Defendants Kei Moore, Arina Vence, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took no action to correct the Policy that has no time frame for visitors list or phone list of Plaintiff to be processed which is then used as a way to Retaliate against Plaintiff. See (Exhibit #39)

165. Plaintiff made a D-Code on BA Unit Special Needs Unit made a discrimination complaint as early as February 2020 against Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Huser, 2-10 Shift BA Unit, 2-10 Sgt, 2-10 LT., 2-10 Capt. BA Unit about a discriminatory memo that took Kiosk use time from SMI D-Code on Treatment Unit SMI. when BA-Unit only has 2 Kiosk but other units have at least 6 or more.
See (Exhibit #40)
166. Defendants Nicki Paul, German denied Plaintiff due process administratively due in P2 Code Title 37 § 93.9 Inmate Complaints. See (Exhibit #41)
167. Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Huser, 2-10 Shift, 2-10 Sgt, 2-10 LT., 2-10 Capt. BA Unit, Deena Varner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal, Nicki Paul were each aware of the discrimination in the Kiosk being 2 on BA Unit and 6 or more on other unit while cutting BA Unit time for using Kiosk. See (Exhibit #42)
168. Plaintiff exhausted the grievance procedure on 4/7/20 but Defendants Keri Moore, Deena Varner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal have not taken any corrective action to stop discrimination. See (Exhibit #43)
169. As early as March 2020 Plaintiff made Defendants Clark, Haldeman, McMahon, Huser, German, Sampsel, Wade, Stover, Hayles aware of severe Light Bulb Torture by wattage 24/7 constant light illumination. See (Exhibit #44)
170. Defendants Wade, Stover, Paul, German, Clark, Haldeman, McMahon, Huser in April 2020 took no action to fix intense constant light illumination on BA Unit (SMI) SMI. D-Code Plaintiff 24/7. See (Exhibit #45)
171. Plaintiff exhausted administrative remedies on May 4th 2020 and Defendants Keri Moore, Deena Varner, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took no action to fix constant light illumination 24/7 on BA Unit SMI. D-Code Plaintiff. See (Exhibit #46)
172. Plaintiff during the Covid 19 pandemic in August 2020 is not allowed to take a shower after attending weight session on Mondays and Wednesdays. See (Exhibit #47)
173. Defendants Clark, Haldeman, Huser, Sgt. Wolf, Co1 Center, Co1 Curtis, Nicki Paul, German, Wetzel, Varner, Selfridge in August 2020 all had knowledge and were involved in allowing the practice of no showering after weight sessions during Covid 19 pandemic. See (Exhibit #48)

174. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-20 the weight sessions and gym with his passes he was not allowed to take a shower during Covid 19 Pandemic. See (Exhibit #49) (Exhibit #50) (Exhibit #51)
175. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-20 Defendant Clerk, Keel Moore, Deina Vence, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal (never took any action to get plaintiff showers after using gym and weight sessions during Covid 19 Pandemic. See (Exhibit #52)
176. In August 2020 during Covid 19 Pandemic Plaintiff was deprived of a urinal to urinate in or commode to defecate in while yard is in BB yard which B.A inmates had to use. See (Exhibit #53)
177. Defendants Clerk, Haldeman, Hauser, Gorman, Varner, Rivello, Paul, Wetzel all were on notice in August 2020 that BB exercise yard had no toilet, urinal or bathroom in it. See (Exhibit #54)
178. Plaintiff exhausted his grievance appeals on 9-17-2020 and Defendants Keel Moore, Deina Vence, John Wetzel, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smeal took no corrective action to build a toilet, build a urinal, build a bathroom inside BB exercise yard. See (Exhibit #55)
179. In March 2020 during the Covid 19 Pandemic the BA Unit SNUL Defendants Clerk, Haldeman, Hauser, Sgt. Wolf, Col Center, Col Irvin, Gray, Davis, Cooper, Sampsel, Hayles, Stever, Wetzel failed to build a exercise yard for the SNUL BA Unit. See (Exhibit #56)
180. Plaintiff exhausted grievance appeals on 4-15-2020 and Defendants Varner, Wetzel, Smeal, Bickell refused to build SNUL D code inmates on BA SNUL 3 SNUL exercise yard for BA unit inmates. See (Exhibit #57)
- More Definitive Statement of Complaint Lines #87 Through Lines #108
181. The Plaintiff is not making the claim that he has the right to a prison job which is not a federal right statutory right or constitutional right. The Plaintiff claim is discrimination and equal protection that he was forced to do more labor hours than others with out receiving state funds the General Assembly gives to PA.D.O.C. for such purpose and when plaintiff complained he was removed from his assignment in retaliation without administrative due process that is in prison procedure and also as retaliation Plaintiff grievance redress process was sabotaged by the Defendants.

182. Lines #85 through #108 caused Plaintiff actual lost wages of pay and employment to the present.
183. Lines #109 through #130 caused Plaintiff physical deterioration to medical conditions, pain, suffering by longer walking distance, exacerbation of mental health by discrimination and retaliation with grievance process and prison unit treatment.
184. Lines #131 through #133 caused Plaintiff to be hurt from accidents with other inmates.
185. Lines #134 through #135 caused Plaintiff anxiety attacks, panic attacks and elevated blood pressures.
186. Line #136 through #138 caused loss of services related to wasted money Plaintiff paid actual damages.
187. Lines #139 through #153 caused physical pain, suffering, trauma, mental health illness, emotional and physiological problems stress, depression, anxiety.
188. Lines #154 through #157 caused pain and suffering.
189. Lines #158 through #160 caused actual damages of laundry clothes that became mildew and un-decided.
190. Lines #161 through #164 caused Plaintiff Trauma and lost of communication with friends and lawyers.
191. Lines #165 through #168 caused lost of communication time available and suffering.
192. Lines #169 through #180 caused pain, suffering, physical deterioration, mental health illness, trauma, exacerbation of health and diseases.

Causes of Actions

193. Defendants in Lines #82 through #108 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art 1 Sec. 7, 13, 20, 26, AAA. Act.
194. Defendants in Lines #109 through #120 violated A.A.A. Act. U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26, 20
195. Defendants in Lines #121 through #134 violated A.A.A. Act, U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14, PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26.
196. Defendants in Lines #135 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 14 PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20
197. Defendants in Lines #136 through #138 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20, 26, 13,
198. Defendant in Lines #139 through #153 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 20, 26, 13
199. Defendants in Lines #154 through #157 violated U.S. Const. Amend 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 7, 13, 20, 26
200. Defendants in Lines #158 through #160 violates U.S. Const. Amend 8 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, U.S. Const. Amend. 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1. Sec. 26.

- 201. Defendants in lines #165 through #168 violated U.S. Const. Amend. 1, 8, 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 7, 13, 20
- 202. Defendants in lines #165 through #168 violated U.S. Const. Amend. 14, 8 and PA. Const. Art. 1 Sec. 13, 26
- 203. Defendants in lines #169 through #180 violated U.S. Const. Amend. 8 and PA. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 13 and U.S. Const. Amend. 14 and PA. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 26.
- 204. Defendants in lines #181 violated AAA. Act, U.S. Const. Amend. 8, 14, PA. Const. Art. 1, Sec. 13, 26, 7, 20

Relief Requested


- 205. Injunctive Relief
- 206. Declaratory Judgment
- 207. Money (and Damages) specified in grievances and in Complaint.
- 208. Plaintiff cost of lawsuit.
- 209. Plaintiff litigation expenses.
- 210. Nominal Damages.
- 211. Treble Damages.
- 212. Jury Demand on all claims triable by Jury.

Verification

I, Alfonso Perry Pew, Plaintiff hereby swear that the foregoing Complaint is true and correct based on my personal knowledge and experiences under the penalties of perjury. 28 U.S.C. 1746

Proof Of Service
1st Class mail

- 1. Office Of The Clerk
United States District Court
Middle District Of Pennsylvania
U.S. Courthouse 228 Walnut Street
P.O. Box. 983 Harrisburg, PA. 17108
- 2. Jonathan Blake, Esquire
15th Floor Steubenville Square
Harrisburg, PA. 17120



Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

State Correctional Institution

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2018

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

at Rockview

Supt's Assistant's Office

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I AM SENDING THIS REQUEST TO
TO I AM A B A BLOCK
WORKER. ACCORDING TO PA
DOC REGULATIONS OF WORK
WEEK I AM SUPPOSE TO
WORK 5 DAYS PER WEEK
WITH 2 DAYS OFF AND
HOLIDAYS. THIS REQUEST IS TO
ASK YOU IF SCHNIDWETTER
ISSUED ROCKVIEW A WAIVER TO
WORK ME 11 DAYS PER WEEK
WITH NO DAYS OFF AND NO
HOLIDAYS OFF?

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Please discuss your hours and days of work
with your Unit Manager

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

GARMAN

Sign

[Signature]

Date 12-3-18

CC: UM Clark
↑ ↑ ↑

CARBON COPY P.P.P. Evidence Records FileNOVEMBER 27th 2018

EXHIBIT B

FROM: MR. ALBERTO PERCY RW #BT-7263
BA BLOCK CELL 1023TO: Deputy of Centralized Services
MR. Houser ←Re: PA.(DOC.) Policy of Central Office
Dept. of Corrections.

SIR, ON NOVEMBER 19th 2018 Monday I began work as a BA Unit Block Worker Class 1 Step A \$19. AS of today I worked 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th. Thanksgiving was a (DOC.) recognized holiday on the 22nd and the 24th, 25th were weekends Saturday and Sunday. I work 6-2 shift BA Block. This is my documented request to you Deputy that by PA.(DOC.) Policy see DCADM 816 Inmate Compensation Manual Section 1 General Procedures C.1 length of workday and workweek page 1-3 states: THE STANDARD WORK WEEK CONSIST OF FIVE DAYS. Deputy also read line #4. It says that: AN INMATE WHO IS REQUIRED TO WORK DURING A COMMONWEALTH DESIGNATED LEGAL HOLIDAY OR ON A DAY NORMALLY CONSIDERED A DAY OFF IS PAID AT HIS / HER REGULAR RATE.

Deputy I have no problem working 7 days a week with no days off and working holidays too but I request my \$19 Step A pay rate per policy for working Thursday November 22nd 2018, Saturday November 24, 2018, Sunday November 25, 2018 and each Saturday Sunday and Holiday I work from then on.

continuedPage 2

Deputy Houseer this request is to ask that you follow John Wetzel Central Office Policy DC ADM 816 Inmate Compensation Manual Section 3 Time Keeping and Calculations of Inmate payroll A. Time validation sheet IF I'm going to be working 7 days without (2 days) off per week and working Holidays I am entitled to \$19 7 days and holidays OR my (2 days off) per week including DC holidays off. Personally I accept the 7 days with holidays at \$19.

Please direct inmate employment to pay me my \$19 for Thanksgiving and Saturday and Sunday and each weekend and holiday I work from now on.

(Thank you)

Alfonse Perry Law

11-27-18

STAFF MEMBER NAME M. Houser DSCS M. Houser DATE 11/30/18
Print Signature

EXHIBIT D

State Correctional Institution

Form DC-135A

RECEIVED

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER DEC 05/2018

INSTRUCTIONS

at Completion items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in
Supt's Approving Office request, it can be responded to more
promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

12-4-18

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

BA Block
BA 1023

SIR ON 11-19-18
I began actual work as a block
worker. Col Carter ask me if I wanted
job on 11-18-18. He said I began on 11-19-18.
I did actual work on 11-19-18. Ms.
Alexandra WIM took 1 day to send
paper work to C.C.M. Miller because
WIM Clerk was not here. C.C.M.
Miller answered my request saying I
began 11-20-18 when he got paper work.
I talked to Col Carter and he confirmed
I began work on 11-19-18 but Ms.
Alexandra paper work took 1 day to get to
C.C.M. Miller. This request is to be
paid for 11-19-18 for actual work I
did.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Per DC ADM 816, you get paid
for hours worked.

This is a unit team issue. Direct
your questions to your Unit Manager.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date 12-1-18

EXHIBIT E

Form DC-135A		Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) → NICKI PAUL		2. Date: 12-4-18	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) Alonso Tew (BE 263) Alonso Tew (BE 263) Inmate signature		4. Counselor's Name State Correctional Institution Bender 5. Unit Manager's Name MS. CLARK DEC 05 2018	
6. Work Assignment BA Block		7. Housing Assignment BA 1023 at Rockview Supt's Assitant's Office	
8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. you said to write to you to get paid resolve a issue before a grievance. OKAY ON 11-16-18 Col Center ask me if I still wanted a job. I said yes. He said you 1st day is Monday. I began actual work on Monday 11-19-18. He told UIM Alexander because UIM Clark was not here 11-19-18. Now CCPM Miller answered my request saying I started 11-20-18. I went to Col Center he said yes I started actual work on 11-19-18 but ms. Alexander had to send the paperwork to CCPM which took 1 day. This request is to ask you to explain to CCPM Miller by ACC today I'm suppose to be paid when I worked 11-19-18			
9. Response (This section for Staff Response Only)			
You were paid GIP .7a for 11/19/18. According to policy, inmates on GIP are supposed to accept responsibility for work in or around the housing unit			
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>	

Staff Member Name
Print

N Paul

Sign

N Paul

Date

12/10/18

CARBON COPY APPEAL RECORDS

TO: Supt. Office

EXHIBIT F

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

BA Block BA 1023

SIR THIS IS MY APPEAL OF JOB REMOVAL. I WAS CALLED TO HER OFFICE TODAY 12-5-18 AND SHOWED A COPY OF WHAT I WROTE TO UM CLARK. I WAS TOLD DUE TO THIS WRITING SUPT. ABOUT MY PAY AND ALSO DUE TO MY GRIEVANCE COMPLAINTS THAT MY JOB WAS BEING SUSPENDED WITHOUT PAY. MS. CLARK DID NOT HAVE A OFFICIAL SITTING WITH VOICE SHEETS GIVING ME DUE PROCESS NOR DID SHE HAVE AUTHORITY SUCH AS A UM TO DISCIPLINE ME. THIS IS PERPETUATION OF COMPLAINT TO YOU. I APPEAL TO YOU.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Mr. New,

You were hired as a block worker in error from an email received from UM Alexander while UM Clark was off. You were previously told by UM Clark that you would not be hired as block worker. You were job suspended and assigned GLP when it was found out that you were assigned as a block worker in her absence. By policy no inmate has a right to be assigned or continue in any specific work assignment.

Brian Miller 12/10/18

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date

EXHIBIT G

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

my 2 page letter / request dated 11-27-18) back from you with request dated 11/30/18. You wrote to should address concern to Unit Manager! then to inmate employment. well I followed your protocol and today 12-5-18 I was should complaints I wrote to Sgt. you and references by ms. Clark that I was because of this I AM SUSPENDED from work without pay. SO NOW I'm writing to you to address the retaliation job removal and why I got NO due process starting hearing on this with a

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

You'll receive a response after the grievance investigation. ←
Request Slips ARE to be used prior to the grievance.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

M. Houser DCS

Print

Sign

Date

12/7/18

County of Centre

EXHIBIT H



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MARK S. SMITH
First Assistant District Attorney

BERNIE CANTORNA
District Attorney

SEAN P. MCGRAW
Deputy District Attorney

Courthouse Annex, Room 302
106 East High Street
Belleville, PA 16823
Telephone (814) 355-6735
Victim/Witness (814) 548-1107

December 17, 2018

Smart Communications/PADOC
Alfonso Percy Pew #BT7263
SCI-Rockview
PO Box 33028
St Petersburg, FL 33733

Re: Alfonso Percy Pew #BT7263 v Unit Manager Sharon Clark ←

Dear Mr. Pew:

I am in receipt of your Private Criminal Complaint wherein you allege that Unit Manager Sharon Clark committed Official Oppression by suspending your employment after you reported to DOC officials that inmates were not being paid for employment on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

Since the Centre County District Attorney's Office does not have a County Detective, I have referred this matter to the Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence located within the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections for an investigation. I will notify you when the investigation is complete.

Sincerely,

Mark S. Smith, Esquire
First Assistant District Attorney

MSS/mss

State Correctional Institution

RECEIVED ←

EXHIBIT I

DC-804
Part 1 DEC 06 2018COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
774889
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

at Rockview.

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR → NIKKI PAUL	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 12/5/18
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) ANTHONY LEW BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Anthony Lew	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: BA 1023	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA 1023	
INSTRUCTIONS: CONTINUE \$ 19.6 hours today pay from 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. MY START 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. date of work 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted. which was 11-19-18.		
A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking. I AM GRIEVING SHARON CLARK FOR ABUSE OF POWER, OFFICIAL OPPRESSION and Retaliat ORY CONDUCT. I wrote the Supt. about a JOB PAY ISSUE. Today 12/5/18 ms. CLARK told me I AM job suspended FOR WRITING Supt. She said its her WORD against mine when I told her I would report her for trying to anti medicate me in her office over filing grievance # 772344 and complaint on her to Supt. over a mail issue which I resolved with Capt. Dyke. I AM affected by this. I AM grieving to be protected by Supt. & security from a retaliatory move off unit, retaliatory cell move and a retaliatory unit issued mistreatment.		
B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance. Request slip to C.C.M. Miller date 11-19-18 attached # 1		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy - CANARY File Copy - PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

INMATE EMPLOYMENT OFFICE

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

1

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

→ Inmate Employment

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

→ Inmate Employment

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

Alonso Lew (B67263)
Alonso Lew (B67263)
Inmate signature

Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

BA ROCK

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

Today 11-19-18 I began
WORKING ON BA block 6-2
shift as block worker.
This request is to verify
that this is recorded so
there will be NO problems with
my payroll and the date I
began work. Please acknowledge.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Your start date was 11/20/18

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name
Print

B. Miller

Sign

Date 11/30/18

1 Page

Attachment

A PERSONAL INTERVIEW IS NEEDED TO RESOLVE THIS GRIEVANCE BY THE ADMINISTRATION. Part of the Retaliation by SHARON CLARK is to have me moved off the BA Unit and to have me placed in the Kitchen Food Services. I HAVE NOT BROKEN ANY D.O.C. RULE BY WRITING Supt. a request slip and using the DC-ADM 804 system.

I AM BEING PUNISHED FOR THIS BY JOB SUSPENSION and threats of the Rest by SHARON CLARK.

BESIDES FOR SHARON CLARK MENTIONING MY WRITING Supt. and grievance being the REASON FOR JOB SUSPENSION I HAVE RECORDS OF PROOF THAT RIGHT AFTER I WROTE → Supt. German, Dep. Houser, C.C.A.M. Miller, Nikki Paul and John Wetzel about job pay issue of being made to force labor 7 days per week and holidays with NO days off but ONLY being paid 5 days that's when ms. Clark called me to office 12-5-18 and suspended my job over this and grievances she said to me.

x Alfonso Perry Pew

12-5-18


GRIEVANCE REJECTION

EXHIBIT J

SCI Rockview

Box A, Bellefonte, PA 16823

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your grievance to this office. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System", I have reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance. Upon consideration of the grievance, it is the decision of this office to reject your grievance due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate Name:	Alfonso Pew	Inmate Number:	BT7263
Facility:	SCI Rockview	Unit Location:	BA-1023-01
Grievance #:	774889		
Decision:	Rejection		
Your grievance is being rejected for the reason(s) outlined below.			
Rationale:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Grievances related to the following issues shall be handled according to the procedures specified in the policies listed and shall not be reviewed by the Facility Grievance Coordinator. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misconduct Procedures DC ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures The grievance was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurred at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the appropriate facility. The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format. Grievance must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner. The grievance exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief. Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately. The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior grievance # Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions. X 12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # 774284 was submitted on 12/3/2018 ← 13. You have not provided this Office with required documentation for proper review such as a DC-153A, Personal Property Inventory Sheet, Confiscated Items Receipt, Commissary/Outside Purchase Form and/or documents outlined on the DC ADM 005 Notification of Deductions Memo and/or the Notification of Amended Deductions Memo. 14. The publication appeal did not include a copy of the Notice of Incoming Publication Denial Form. (Attachment 3-B of DC-ADM 803) 		
Response:	You are currently on grievance restriction.		
Signature:	←  →		
Title:	Facility Grievance Coordinator		
Date:	December 6, 2018		

cc: Facility Grievance Coordinator
DC-15
File

→ CARBON COPY H.H.H. EVIDENCE
→ RECORDS FILES

EXHIBIT K

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

State Correctional Institution

Inmate signature

7. Housing Assignment

DEC 24 2018

at Rockview

Supt's Assistant's Office

6. Work Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I WAS PLACED ON GRIEVANCE RESTRICTION. YOU WROTE I HAD TO FILE 1 GRIEVANCE EVERY 15 WORKING DAYS. YOU WROTE LAST GRIEVANCE # 774284 WAS SUBMITTED ON 12-3-18. I AM NOW ELIGIBLE TO REFILE 12/24/18 TO RESUBMIT THE REJECTED GRIEVANCE REJECTION # 774889 FROM DEC. 6th 2018.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

You are incorrect. This is now untimely.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

N Paul

Sign

N Paul

Date 12/24/18

EXHIBIT L

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

INMATE. I HAVE RIGHTS UNDER THE DISABILITY ACT. I HAVE A RECORD YOU SIGNED 12/7/18 DC-135A SAYING I WILL PROVIDE A RESPONSE AFTER THE GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION THAT REQUEST WAS SENT TO YOU 12-5-18 THE SAME DAY I FILED A GRIEVANCE. THE CSA REPORTED THAT GRIEVANCE # 774889 ON 12-6-18 STATING I WAS ON GRIEVANCE RESTRICTION. BOX 12 SAID MY LAST GRIEVANCE WAS FILED 12/3/18. I HAD TO WAIT 15 WORKING DAYS. ON 12/24/18 15 WORKING DAYS LATER I RESUBMITTED # 774889. THE CSA REFUSED TO PROCESS IT AND SENT IT BACK. YOU ARE BEING INFORMED THIS VIOLATES DC-ADM 804 PROCEDURE AND STATE LAW TITLE 37 PA CODE 93.8 INMATE COMPLAINTS. YOU ARE BEING INFORMED AND ASKED TO FIX

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

YOU RAISED AN ISSUE ON A REQUEST SLIP AND GRIEVANCE. AT THE TIME THE GRIEVANCE HAD NOT BEEN REJECTED SO I DEFERRED TO THE GRIEVANCE INVESTIGATION. IF YOU STILL NEED AN ANSWER AND THE GRIEVANCE WAS REJECTED I'LL PROVIDE A RESPONSE. WRITE ME BACK WITH THE ISSUE.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date

1/2/19

EXHIBIT M

State Correctional Institution

RECEIVED
Form DC-135A

DEC 31 2018

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER
at Rockview
Supt's Assistant's Office

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items numbered 1-8 on this form. Inmate's request is being prepared by your office. It can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 REC'D 2019

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

at Rockview
Supt's Assistant's Office

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I received my 12/24/18 request slip back with my resubmitted grievance reject # 774899. You wrote "you are incorrect. This is now untimely." I am sending you this request to document number 1 that you are violating state law title 37 PA. CODE § 93.8. Inmate complaints by obstructing grievance process DC ADMIN 804 and preventing my federal prison litigation from Act Exhaustion. Number 2 for my resubmitted grievance # 774899 on 12-24-18 you did not provide a rejection form for that date 12-24-18. I request one that you reject.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

→ Your request is noted ←

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

N Paul

Print

N Paul

Sign

Date 12/31/18

Case 1:21-cv-00421-MWB-MCC Document 37-1 Filed 06/23/21 Page 39 of 100

Records Files

EXHIBIT N

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name State Correctional Institution

5. Unit Manager's Name

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

The CSA told me I was on grievance restriction and only could file 1 grievance every 15 working days this was 12-6-18 documented when CSA rejected # 774889. So I waited 15 working days from my last grievance filed until 12-3-18 and that was 12/24/18 when I resubmitted # 774889. The CSA will not process # 774889 resubmitted 12/24/18. You the Supt. are involved and you are well aware my last grievance filed was # 774289 on 12/3/18 CSA wrote that down. So 15 working days have passed on 12/24/18 and I'm being denied process of # 774889 resubmitted. You are being asked to fix this violation of DC-ADM 804 to either process the grievance OR HAVE CSA provide a Rejection form for 12-24-18

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

774889 I resubmitted.

You cannot file a grievance outside of the DC ADM 804 parameters. The fact you are on restriction does not matter. You, of all people, are familiar with that process.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

GARMAN

Print

Date

1-2-19

Sign

CARBON COPY A.P.R. Evidence Records Files

JANUARY 11th 2019

EXHIBIT 0

FROM: SMART Communications Inc. / P.A. DOC
 ALAN PERCY PEN #T-7263
 S.C.T. ROCKVIEW P.O. BOX 33028
 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

TO: AGRINA VARNER ←
 Chief Grievance Officer
 S.O.I.G.A. 1920 TECHNOLOGY PARKWAY
 MECHANICSBURG, PA. 17050

Re: Being Denied DC AM 804 Process ←

I AM being denied my state statutory PA State Code Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints procedure. I submitted a grievance to NIKKI PAUL on 12/6/18. It was rejected #774889. It was rejected due to grievance restriction NIKKI PAUL wrote I must file every 15 working days. At that time my last file was 12-3-18 it was #774284. I waited my last was days then on 12-24-18 I resubmitted #774889. NIKKI PAUL refused to process it. NIKKI PAUL also refuses to provide a rejection form for it. I have a request slip sent to Dep. Houser 1/5/19 answered 1/9/19 He writes he does not supervise NIKKI PAUL. I have 2 request slip dated 12/27/18 and answered 12/31/18 NIKKI PAUL writes your request is noted. The Slat. Gorman was sent a request slip 12/27/18 he answered 1-2-19 they will not process #774889 NCR will they give me the rejection form. I want the grievance processed OR a means to appeal to (S.O.I.G.A.). I CANNOT appeal it with NO rejection form from 12-24-18. I AM being denied the grievance process because of retaliation. They don't want Central Office to be aware of #774889 because what they are doing at Rockview violates Policy DC AM 816 by not compensating for days worked. I request you address why #774889 was not processed 12-24-18 resubmitted and I request it be processed.

Alonso Pen Pen

1/11/19

EXHIBIT P

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

you said to write you back with the issue of the grievance being not processed and you would provide a reply. When I submitted my grievance #774889 Dec. 6th 2018 CSA Paul wrote I was on grievance restriction and had to wait 15 working days. My last grievance at that time was #774284 which was 12-3-18. So I waited 15 working days and on 12-24-18 I resubmitted #774889. CSA Paul did not process it she says I can't file it now it's untimely. She is a new CSA and must not know she can not do this under DC ADM 804 when I'm on grievance restriction after 15 working days I can submit my grievance I was waiting to file.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

I do not supervise Ms. Paul. These concerns need addressed to Superintendent Gorman. Do follow the grievance appeal process.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

M. Houser

Sign

Date

1/9/19

EXHIBIT Q



Integrated Offender Case Management System

1/2/2019 9:25:32 AM

Monthly Account Statement

From Date: 12/01/2018

To Date: 01/02/2019

Housing	Case ID	Offender Name	Location	
B-A-1023-01	BT7263	PEW,ALFONSO	Rockview	
Batch#	Txn Date	Txn Description	Txn Amount(\$)	Balance After Transaction(\$)
ROC-035665	12/01/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (FRY , WENDELL)	+25.00	41.02
ROC-035665	12/01/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-2.50	38.52
ROC-035688	12/04/2018	44 - Inmate Organization (LIFER'S FUND RAISER)	-12.15	26.37
ROC-035701	12/05/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (PEW , MAGGIE)	+30.00	56.37
ROC-035701	12/05/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-3.00	53.37
ROC-035706	12/05/2018	37 - Postage (First Class Mail)	-4.10	49.27
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+2.16	51.43
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.22	51.21
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 1 - 1st - End of Month)	+7.92	59.13
ROC-035711	12/06/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.79	58.34
ROC-035726	12/07/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (LEITNER , HEINZ)	+30.00	88.34
ROC-035726	12/07/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-3.00	85.34
ROC-035738	12/07/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/07/2018)	-62.94	22.40
ROC-035813	12/13/2018	37 - Postage (First Class Mail)	-0.21	22.19
ROC-035833	12/14/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/14/2018)	-20.98	1.21
ROC-035869	12/19/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (MOORE , TOMMIE)	+40.00	41.21
ROC-035869	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-4.00	37.21
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 3 - 14th - 13th)	+0.42	37.63
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.04	37.59
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	10 - Maintenance Payroll (GRP 3 - 14th - 13th)	+6.84	44.43
ROC-035870	12/19/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-0.68	43.75
ROC-035899	12/21/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/21/2018)	-17.24	26.51
ROC-035907	12/21/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY CR FOR 12/21/2018)	+1.24	27.75
ROC-035943	12/27/2018	37 - Postage (JOHN WETZEL 12/20/18)	-2.26	25.49
ROC-035948	12/27/2018	34 - Cable	-17.00	8.49
ROC-035956	12/28/2018	32 - Commissary (ROC COMMISSARY FOR 12/28/2018)	-5.71	2.78
ROC-035958	12/29/2018	13 - Personal Gifts (MASSEY , RICHARD)	+50.00	52.78
ROC-035958	12/29/2018	39 - Federal Filing Fees (3:15-cv-1042)	-5.00	47.78

Current, Escrow, & Available Balances are as of 1/2/2019 9:25:32 AM

Current Balance	47.78
Escrow Balance	0.00
Available Balance	47.78

ARJON Copy of Pennsylvania Records Files Legal

EXHIBIT R

→ To: Supt. GARMAN ←

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

→ To: Supt. GARMAN ←

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

State Correctional Institution

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

ALFONSO REW BG7263

Alfonso Rew BG7263

Inmate signature

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

at Rockview

Superintendent's Office ←

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I WANT TO OFFICIALLY
DOCUMENT THIS ON RECORD WITH YOU AS SUPT.
SO YOU CANNOT LATER CLAIM THAT YOU DID
NOT KNOW OR I DID NOT SEEK TO HAVE YOU
RESOLVE THE PROBLEM. I AM A "D" CODE, H CODE
AND O CODE INMATE. THE LAST 3 TIMES I WAS
CONFINED AT ROCKVIEW ON BA BLOCK UNDER UIM
GENTZEL AND UIM ALEXANDER I WAS ALWAYS HOUSED
IN FRONT OF UNIT CELL #1. SINCE I CAME BACK IN
JUNE 2018 I REPEATEDLY REQUEST TO MOVE TO 1001
OR 2001 OR 1002 OR 2002. NOTE I WEAR A BACK
BRACE AND SCOTCH SUPPORTER I HAVE A DISABILITY. I AM
COMPLAINING THAT I NOTICE → BIAS, DISCRIMINATION BASED
ON RACE, GENDER BEING HETEROSEXUAL, AGE AND DISABILITY.
ONLY WHITE AND HOMOSEXUALS AND FEW BLACK INMATES CAN
MOVE WHENEVER THEY WANT TO OTHER CELLS. (BLACK) ETC.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

IS OF NO HELP TO ME.

I do not and will not get involved
in cell moves. You can discuss this with
your unit manager MS. Clark. There is nothing
in policy that grants you a prerogative in cell choice.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name
Print

GARMAN

Sign

Date 1-16-19

cc: UM Clark

→ MR. Haldeman ←

TO: Deputy Houser ←

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

TO: Deputy Houser ←

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

TO: Deputy Houser ←

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

ALFRED FEW (B7263)

BAUMGARDNER

Colfer Few (B7263)

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

GLP

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I AM DOCUMENTING THIS ON RECORD WITH YOU OFFICIALLY SO YOU CANNOT LATER SAY YOU WERE UNAWARE OR I DID NOT SEEK TO RESOLVE THE PROBLEM. I AM BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BASED ON RACE, GENDER, AGE AND DISABILITY. I AM BLACK AND HETEROSEXUAL. I HAVE 2 DISABILITIES I WEAR 2 BACK BRACES AND SCOTAL SUPPORTERS. I AM A D CODE, H CODE AND O CODE. ALL 3 TIMES I BEEN AT ROCKHURST I HAVE NOT LIVED IN A CENTRAL ALUM ALEXANDER I WAS ON 1001 CELL. SINCE JUNE 2018 I REQUESTED TO MOVE TO 1001, 1002, 2001, 2002. I HAVE NOTICED WHITE, HOMOSEXUAL INMATES CAN MOVE AT ANYTIME THEY WANT TO. THE MAJOR HALDEMAN DOES NOTHING TO ADDRESS MY CONCERNS TO BE IN 1001, 1002, 2001, 2002 CELL.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Unit moves ARE done by the Unit Manager.

You should follow the chain of command for Unit concerns:

- 1) Unit Manager - Ms. Clark
- 2) Major for Unit Management - Ms. Haldean
- 3) Deputy for Facilities Management - Mr. McMahon
- 4) Superintendent - Mr. Gauman

The Deputy for Centralized Services (me) is not involved in Unit or bed moves.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name
Print

M. Houser DSCS1 M7 Houser

Date 1/16/19

Revised July 2000

CC: Major - Haldean ←

→ U.M. - CLARK ←

EXHIBIT T

To: Deputy McMahon

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

To: Deputy McMahon

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

To: Deputy McMahon

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

Alfred Pew BT7263

Beungrader

Alfred Pew BT7263

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

G2P

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I want to officially document with you on record in writing so you cannot later say you are unaware of the problem. I am a "B" Code, H Code O Code inmate. I have a medical disability I wear a back brace and spinal supporter. I been at Rockview 3 times on BA block. Each time under UIM Gentel and UIM Alexander I was in 1001 cell. Since June 2018 when I returned I been ~~asked to move to 1001 or 1002 or 2001 or 2002~~. There is a pattern of discrimination based on race and sexual gender. I'm black and heterosexual. I notice systematically making white and homosexual inmates can move cells at any time. I'm being discriminated against due to race, gender, age and disability. The Major Haldeman has not been any help to me.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

BT 7263 Pew,

I have already answered this issue with you in your previously submitted Request to Staff.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

Gerald McMahon

Sign

Date

1/23/19

EXHIBIT U

Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date: State Correctional Institution

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

at Rockview

Superintendent's Office

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

ON 11-16-19 at 11:25 AM I WENT TO BA BLOCK DESK I handed the BA block SARGENT 6-2 shift the attached Voluntary Request to Change CELL PARTNER. there is 2 copies attached to this request slip with a carbon paper sheet in the middle of it. I filled it out and inmate Edward Wright filled it out. I came to desk and inmate Edward Wright was coming up when the SARGENT stops telling me he will not sign the form. I told him to state on form block SARGENT must sign before Unit Manager. I told SARGENT I would report this to Supt. GARMAN. I am being discriminated against. I went Supt. Office to direct SARGENT to follow PA, DC rules and sign the form.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

The Sqt. does not approve cell moves.

You need to address your concern to the Unit Manager. In addition, you already wrote to me trying to move to BA-1002. you are not entitled to any specific cell assignment.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

GARMAN

Sign

Date

1-17-19

Revised July 2000

cc: um clark

mar. Haldeman

VOLUNTARY REQUEST TO CHANGE CELL PARTNERS

BUILDING BA 1023

DATE 11/16/19

Alfonso Percy Few (BT-7263) 1023 1 AND
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

EDWARD W. Smith (MD9460) 1002 1
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

REQUEST TO ^{MOVE CELL(S) SWITCH} ~~CELL TOGETHER IN CELL~~ 1 1002 1
LEVEL# CELL# BED#

BRIEFLY STATE WHY YOU WANT THIS CHANGE:

NOTE: THE COMPLETE CELL AND BED LOCATION **MUST** BE GIVEN; OTHERWISE, THIS REQUEST FOR CELL CHANGE **WILL NOT** BE PROCESSED. IF THIS MOVE IS MADE, BOTH INMATES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED ANOTHER CELL CHANGE FOR **180 DAYS**.

BOTH INMATES MUST SIGN THIS FORM IN THE PRESENCE OF THE BLOCK SERGEANT.

Alfonso Percy Few BT-7263
INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

Ed Smith MD9460
INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

BLOCK SERGEANT SIGNATURE

UNIT MANAGER
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED

EXHIBIT V

7Form DC-135A

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

Dep. McMahon

2. Date:

1/21/19

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

Alfresco Lewis (BT 263)

4. Counselor's Name

Baungrader

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

PER DOC Policy I request an answer within 5 working days. Both the Supt and Dep. Heister have been contacted about this. Dep. Heister says you are DS FM over MAJ. Holden and Unit Clerk. (1) I AM a ADA inmate under DOC Policy 006 I have a disability. I requested since June 2018 to be moved to 2001 cell. (2) I AM a O once, a Code 201. If Code 201s being up front (3) I AM being discriminated against based on race and gender. Whether you ignore this or not the practice here of MAJ. Holden and Unit Clerk has been covered by you. The cell #2001 is open. I AM treated differently than other inmates who request cell changes.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

BT 2263 Lewis,

Your housing assignment is appropriate for your disability - the move that you desire is not necessary or required for ADA accommodations. The unit manager is required to accommodate your or any other inmate's needs that are medically diagnosed. MAJ. Clark has done just that who she houses in any other cell is specifically up to her and she does not have to make accommodations otherwise. There is no discrimination or harassment involved. Your previous cell assignments have been all over B unit as well as other Rockwell housing area.

To DC-14 CAR only ☒To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
Print

Gerald McMahon

Sign

Date

1/23/19

EXHIBIT W

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1: To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

I REQUEST UNDER PA
DCC Policy 3 ANSWER IN 5 WORKING DAYS. I
CONTINUE TO BUILD UP DOCUMENTATION FROM SEPT.
GARMAN, DEP. HUSSE, DEP. MIC MAMM AND MAY
HALDEMAN TO SHOW I AM BEING TREATED DIFFERENT
W. I SUEE 013 PPTTE TREATING ME SINCE JUNE
2018. I REPEATEDLY REQUESTED TO BE MOVED TO
#2001 CELL. YOU ARE SURE I AM A.D.A. AMERICAN
DISABILITY ACT UNDER DCAMICOB. YOU KNEW I AM O
CODE. I AM "D" CODE. I AM H CODE. IT IS ON
COMPUTER I WEAR TWO MEDICAL DEVICES. THE ISSUE
IS MY GENDER AND RACE. I'M HETEROSEXUAL AND BLACK.
ALL THE WHITE HOMOSEXUALS AND BLACK TRANSGENDER
PEOPLE ARE TREATED BETTER WHEN THEY ASK TO MOVE CELL
#2001 IS NOW OPEN. I PUT IN 3 CELL CHARGE FOR IT.
MY WRITING REQUEST FOR THAT CELL #2001 BEEN SINCE 6/2018.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

Mr. Pew,

You are a single cell status and are
housed in a single cell. There is nothing
in policy that states you are "entitled" to a cell
of your choice.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

UM Clark

Print

UM Chew

Sign

Date

1/25/2019

Attached Cell Move Form

EXHIBIT X

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

2. Date:

112119

4. Counselor's Name

Counselor's Name BAUNGARDER

5. Unit Manager's Name

Inmate signature

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

GLP

BA 1022

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

POLICY 2 ENSURE IN 5 WORKING DAYS. I HAVE WRITTEN SGT GERMANY, DEP.) TOUSOZ, DEP. MCMEHON AND I LET THEM KNOW I AM KEEPING RECORDS. SINCE JUNE 2018 I SOUGHT TO HAVE UIM SHARON CLARK MOVE ME UP FRONT. (1) I AM A AAA INMATE UNDER AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT AND DC ADM CODE POLICY. (2) I AM A O CODE INMATE A "N" CODE INMATE AND 3 H CODE INMATE. I BELONG UNITS 6-7. I PERMANENTLY REQUESTED TO GO TO THE CELL #2051 IS OPEN NOW. I WROTE YOUR REQUEST WHICH I HAVE IN FILE. YOU FIRST SAID YOU NEVER GOT REQUEST OF MINE. THEN YOU WROTE UIM CLARK RUNS CELL MOVES THAT YOU HAVE NO CONFEDORANCE UNIT CELL MOVES? I NOTICE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ME BASED ON RACE AND GENDER. I'M BLACK AND BILATERAL SEXUAL. ALL WHITE HOMOSEXUALS AND BLACK TRANSGENDERS CAN MOVE

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

but I CAN'T MOVE. Affected form

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name _____
Print _____

1917

Date _____

Revised July 2000

VOLUNTARY REQUEST TO CHANGE CELL PARTNERS

BUILDING BA 1023

DATE 1/21/19

Alfred P. BT 7263 BA 1023 1 AND
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

Empty Cell
NAME AND NUMBER CURRENT CELL # BED#

"change"
REQUEST TO CELL TOGETHER IN CELL 2 2001 1
LEVEL# CELL# BED#

BRIEFLY STATE WHY YOU WANT THIS CHANGE:

NOTE: THE COMPLETE CELL AND BED LOCATION **MUST** BE GIVEN; OTHERWISE, THIS REQUEST FOR CELL CHANGE **WILL NOT** BE PROCESSED. IF THIS MOVE IS MADE, BOTH INMATES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED ANOTHER CELL CHANGE FOR **180 DAYS**.

BOTH INMATES MUST SIGN THIS FORM IN THE PRESENCE OF THE BLOCK SERGEANT.

Alfred P. (BT 7263)
INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

INMATE SIGNATURE AND NUMBER

BLOCK SERGEANT SIGNATURE

UNIT MANAGER
APPROVED / DISAPPROVED

EXHIBIT Y

7Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

PER DOC Policy

I REQUEST A ANSWER IN 5 WORKING days. You stated you are NOT OVER MAJOR HEATHER HALLIDAY and WILL SEARCH CLARK AS A SUPERVISOR DCS. FIRST THIS IS TO DOCUMENT I HAVE A A.D.A. DISABILITY UNDER NC ADM 006. I AM B O CODE, D CODE and 11 CODE. I belong in technical block. I ASKED SINCE JUNE 2018 TO BE IN # 2001. The cell is OPEN NOW. Second whether you ignore CLARK NOT there is discrimination based on race and gender and you are PERMITTING MAJOR AND WILL TO PROMOTE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST ME.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

- 1) As previously informed... I do not assigned specific cell or bed assignments on the housing units.
- 2) As previously informed... if you have concerns pertaining to U.M.-Clark and Major Haldeman, the proper chain of command is Deputy McMahon and then Superintendent Graham.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐Staff Member Name
PrintM. Houser DCS
Sign

Date 1/23/19

CARBON COPY OF RECEIVED RECORDS
State Correctional Institution
Files → RECEIVED EXHIBIT Z

7Form DC-135A		JAN 23 REC'D 2019 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Corrections	
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER at Rockview → Superintendent's Office		INSTRUCTIONS Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.	
1. To: (Name and Title of Officer) → Supt. GARMAN		2. Date: 1/21/19	
3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number) Alonso Few (BT-7263) Alonso Few (BT-7263) Inmate signature		4. Counselor's Name BAUMGARDNER	
5. Unit Manager's Name MS. CLARK		6. Work Assignment GLP	
7. Housing Assignment BA 1023		8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details. PER DOC. Policy I REQUEST A ANSWER IN 5 WORKING DAYS. Whether you choose to ignore the issue and not intervene with your subordinates Major Haldeman and Unit Sharon Clark. I AM INVOLVING YOU IN THE PATTERN OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE and gender due to your ignoring the practice. SINCE JUNE 2018 I have asked to be moved up from 2001 cell. I am a O Code, A Code with Code. The cell is open but I'm being discriminated against about moving in. I have a medical disability and need to be up	
9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only) BT-7263 Few, I am responding to Superintendent Garmen's request slips in his absence. I responded to this same request yesterday and my answer remains the same.			
To DC-14 CAR only <input type="checkbox"/>		To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS <input type="checkbox"/>	

Staff Member Name G-D McMahon, [Signature] Date 1/21/19
Print Sign



pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

EXHIBIT 1

DATE: December 10, 2018

TO: BA Unit Inmates and Staff

FROM:  S. Clark, BA Unit Manager



RE: Stair Access by BA Unit Inmates

Effective Monday, January 7, 2019, all inmates residing in BA Housing Unit will only be permitted to use the stairs at the entrance of BA Unit (by the Officer's Desk) and the stairs by the showers.

*The back stairs will only be utilized by inmates in the event of an emergency.

This procedure is being implemented to bring this housing unit in compliance with the other housing units at SCI-Rockview.

Any inmate using the back stairs of this housing unit on or after Monday, January 7, 2019 will be subject to a misconduct being issued.

 Approved  Waldeman
CO




EXHIBIT 2

August 3, 2015

Alfonso Pew, BT7263
SCI-Camp Hill

Dear Mr. Pew:

This is in response to your recent letter sent to the Department of Justice regarding an ADA complaint against the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections. The Department of Justice forwarded your concern to the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections.

The most recent ADA request the Bureau of Health Care Services has on record is from January 18, 2012. You are advised to submit any ADA request you have through the medical department at SCI-Camp Hill so that it may be appropriately addressed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Noel, M.D.', written over the typed name.

Paul Noel, M.D.
Acting Director

Bureau of Health Care Services

PN/MDH/kvk

cc: Superintendent Harry
Deputy Superintendent Zwierzyna
CHCA Shoop
File (Pew Alfonso BT7263 mdh 8-3-15)

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

78289

GRIEVANCE NUMBER

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR

FACILITY:

DATE:

FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER)

SIGNATURE OF INMATE:

WORK ASSIGNMENT:

HOUSING ASSIGNMENT

INSTRUCTIONS ① Not to be refiled against with Retaliation Transfer
1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. OR UNIT CHANGE.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. ② MAKE CHANGES -
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of
staff members you have contacted. - PGWS/EDM attached 1 page.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

[illegible]

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

contacted UIM SHARON CLARK
contacted MAJOR MICHAEL WIDEMAN
Request SCIAS to Dep. 1000000, Dep. McMahon and
Sgt. Gorman RA cell move to FRON (cf B cell 2001
2002 OF 1000000)

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date _____

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

EXHIBIT 4

7Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS
Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

RECEIVED

JAN 28 REC'D 2019

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

NICKIE PAUL

2. Date:

1/25/19

at Rockview

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

ALFRED PAUL (B7263)

4. Counselor's Name

BAUNGARDER

Unit Manager's Name

MS. CLARK

Inmate signature

6. Work Assignment

GLP

7. Housing Assignment

BA 1023

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

OVER THE WEEKEND
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. HOLIDAY 1-19-19
1-21-19 I PUT A GRIEVANCE IN THE
GRIEVANCE BOX RIGHT UNDER THE CAMERA
CORE. BA OFFICER WAS THERE ON HIS
POST AND I HAVE WITNESSES AND MY
GOLDEN ROD COPY DATE 1-22-19

I HAVE NOT RECEIVED MY PINK COPY
AND GRIEVANCE NUMBER YET.

I REQUEST A ANSWER IN 5 WORKING
DAYS.

9. Response (This Section for Staff Response Only)

The last grievance received from you is 783297

783297 which was received 1/22/19 and rejected.

You appealed this rejection 1/30/19.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐

To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name
Print

Alfred Paul

Sign

Date

1/30/19

(Exhibit #5)

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT7263	ALFONSO PERU	Rockview	1/29/19	783297

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 1-28-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I Appeal the grievance rejection. #7 Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy. "my grievance says "I AM affected with a disability too and on BA is fire caused due to inmates on unit are prohibited from using back steps meaning over 100 inmates are crammed on top tier in narrow spaces going to front steps and middle steps with elderly, sick and cane inmates this flood causes inmates including myself to stumble and fall into other inmates with canes and wheel chairs ON BA it is a hazard." #8 Grievance based upon different events must be presented separately. The DC-ADM 804 Policy allows issues that are related to be joined in one grievance such as this AMERICAN Disability Act 42 USC §§ 12131-12134 TITLE II UNDER DC ADM 006 Inmate Disabilities

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Peru

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alfonso	Rockview	2/6/19	783297

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 2-6-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

GRIEVANCE with 1 page attachment #1
GRIEVANCE ANSWER #2 which is a
GRIEVANCE REJECTION FORM
My Appeal of Grievance Rejection
to Supt. Office #3
Supt. Answer to Appeal #4

Issue

I AM appealing Supt. Answer #4
I high lighted and underlined "BA
black needs to be in compliance with
ADA laws" see 1 page attachment
that cover the entire ADA issue.
ALSO my grievance says Title II
ADA 42 U.S.C. 12131-12134 that
covers #3 the particular ADA Policy
is DC-ADM 006 see my appeal
to Supt. #3 the last 3 cones. the
ADA is one issue every thing in
grievance is ADA violations.
OF SOLGA does not correct I
will sue.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso

FINAL APPEAL DECISION DISMISSAL
Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified below. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, "Inmate Grievance System," this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Inmate Name	Alfonso Pew		Inmate Number	BT7263
SCJ Filed at	Rockview		Current SCJ	Rockview
Grievance #	783297			
Decision	Dismiss			
		1) Your grievance was properly rejected at the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.		
	X	2) Your grievance is being dismissed at the final appeal level for the reason(s) outlined below.		
Rationale		1. Grievances related to the following issues shall be handled according to procedures specified in the policies listed and shall not be reviewed by the Facility Grievance Coordinator. a) DC ADM 008 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) – allegations of a sexual nature against a staff member and/or inmate-on-inmate sexual contact b) DC ADM 801 Inmate Discipline/Misconduct Procedures c) DC ADM 802 Administrative Custody Procedures		
	X	2. The grievance or appeal was not submitted within fifteen (15) working days after the events upon which claims are based.		
		3. Grievance involves matter(s) that occurred at another facility and should be directed by the inmate to the appropriate facility.		
		4. The grievance was not signed and/or dated with correct commitment name, number, contained UCC references, or was not presented in proper format.		
		5. Grievance or appeal must be legible, understandable, and presented in a courteous manner.		
		6. The grievance or appeal exceeded the two page limit. Description needs to be brief.		
		7. Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.		
		8. Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.		
		9. The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior grievance #		
		10. Group grievances or grievances filed on behalf of another inmate are prohibited.		
		11. Grievance disputes previous grievances, appeal decisions or staff members who rendered those decisions.		
		12. You are currently on grievance restriction. You are limited to one grievance every 15 working days. Last grievance # was submitted on		
		13. You have not provided this Office with required and/or legible documentation for proper review.		
	X	14. An appeal to final review is not permitted when you fail to comply with submission procedures.		
Response	This decision of this office is that you do indicate that you are personally affected. Additionally, your grievance, as a whole, is about alleged ADA violations and not separate issues. However, you fail to indicate the dates of any occurrences; therefore, an incident date cannot be determined. Once your initial grievance was rejected, instead of resubmitting it with the necessary information, you chose to appeal directly to the Facility Manager. For those reasons, your grievance is dismissed.			
Signature:	→	Dorina Vamer	Vani Moore for	
Title:		Chief Grievance Officer		
Date:	→	3/19/2019	←	

DLV/HIS

cc: DC-15/Superintendent Garman

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-G


2019

→ **Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals** ← **BA**

Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

123

This serves to acknowledge receipt of information associated with your intent to appeal a grievance (identified below, if available) to final review, to communicate your concern(s) to the Secretary's Office of Grievances and Appeals, and/or to check the status of review related to your matter.

Inmate Name:	Alfonso Pew	Inmate Number:	BT7263
SCI Filed at:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance # (If available):	774889		
	a) You have already received final disposition/review on this issue through this Office. b) This Office has no prior record of receipt of an appeal from you regarding this issue. c) You have already filed a grievance to seek review and resolution of this matter. d) You are encouraged to work through institutional channels to resolve your complaint initially. If unable to resolve your complaint informally, be advised that the DC-ADM 804 provides a mechanism for all inmates to seek formal resolution for concerns. e) You failed to provide the official grievance number for identification purposes. f) Your claim to have grieved and/or appealed this concern at the institutional level without response does not entitle you to direct appeal to final review. Rather, contact the Grievance Coordinator or Facility Manager's office regarding the status of your appeal. g) You have not yet appealed this issue to the Facility Manager. Final review will not be granted until you do so. Upon receiving a response from the Facility Manager at the respective facility, you may once again submit a timely written appeal to this Office for final review. Be sure that your appeal to this office includes all the necessary documents as outlined in DC ADM 804. If not all documents are received with your appeal, it may be dismissed. This response does not grant you a right to an appeal if it would otherwise have been untimely to pursue that appeal to the Superintendent.		
X	h) Your grievance and/or correspondence is being filed without further action for the reason(s) specified in the Comments/Action Taken section below.		
	i) The following action has been taken in response to the inquiry, request, or concern communicated in your letter.		
Comments/Action Taken:			
Your correspondence addressed to Secretary Wetzel regarding the above referenced grievance was referred to this office for review. You indicate that the grievance was rejected due to you being on grievance restriction and you claim resubmitted it after 15 working days but the grievance coordinator refused to process it. <u>Records reflect that there is no record that the resubmission was received.</u>			
Signature:	Amanda West 	Title:	Grievance Review Officer
Date:	03.26.2019		

AMW

cc: DC-15/Supt. Garman
2019-C59-014
Grievance Office (CR115)

123
BA
TB
(Exhibit #9)



TO Alfonso Pew, #BT7263
SCI Rockview

FROM Crystal Loy
Staff Assistant

DATE March 12, 2019

RE Correspondence

This is in response to your correspondence addressed to EDS Bickell regarding grievance #783297 and allegations of retaliation. Your correspondence has been referred to me for review and response.

Mr. Pew, please be advised, this office is not part of the DC ADM 804 Inmate Grievance process and does not intervene in decisions made through that process. The aforementioned policy allows for due process through two levels of appeals and will not be addressed in this response.

You are alleging that SCI Rockview staff are retaliating against you due to your utilization of the DC ADM 804 Inmate Grievance process. The grievance policy specifically states, "No inmate shall be punished, retaliated against, or otherwise harmed for use of the grievance system".

I trust that the above information addresses your concerns.

/cl

cc: Superintendent Garman
dachristia #2019-C43-000000085
File

Exhibit #10

SCI-ROCKVIEW
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 1263	Alfonso Lewis	BA 1027	4-8-19	792192

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 4-8-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

- I want the name of Accountant 1 that reviewed Grievance I signed and read the Signature.
- @ Connection (Cable TV) Line and PA.DOC are in a Conflict of Interest Based all inmates send outside financial exhibits and buy the New Expensive Digital TVs from Commissary in order to get Digital Signal or buy the Digital Antenna to get Local Digital Channels however at Rockview the Digital TVs with Cable Service don't get Digital TV HD. I am asking connected Antennas still and without Cable Service the cheap quality Commissary Digital Antenna cannot pick up ANY Local Stations this is a orchestrated Conspiracy between CCTV and PA.DOC.
- @ The Commissary Digital Antenna does not provide Free Over Air Digital Stations.
- @ The Digital TV Even with Cable does not receive Digital TV Signal as there is no Digital HD Channels.
- @ PA.DOC or CCTV owe Digital Service.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Lewis

(Exhibit #11)

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-1263	Alfonso K. Kockview	Kockview	4/30/19	792192

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 4/25/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance, 1 Page Attachment, Exhibit 1
Exhibit 2, Grievance Answer #3, Appeal to Spt #4
Spt Answer #5 (Attached) Appeal Issues:

1. John E. Welzel, Mark Gamm, CCTV Owners, President CEO, CFO, Shareholders, PA.DOC. are writing Spt. Appeal Answer #5 I am a Cable Subscriber and has been a Cable Subscriber for months SCL Kockview Inmate Accounting Business Office can verify this fact to SCL. I should be Uphold Inmate on this part. 2. Accountant I play back admin the wall but does not control how the signals are transmitted to the Facility See #3 for this Appeal I am attaching #6 page 62 of CCTV Contract there is to be a 3.11 Digital Satellite Receiver and 3.03 Antenna Mount (D) Satellite pole mount attached 3.04 Antenna Receiver attached #8 and digital transmission system #9 which the PA.DOC. and CCTV Inc. agree to provide satellite digital cable high definition See Exhibit 1
3. The current Digital Flat Antenna #9383 I like all the others do not pick up local digital stations which forces to buy Cable.
4. The PA.DOC needs to install the fiber optic HD digital reception Cable. 5. The PA.DOC needs to put up on the roof flat Antennas for local digital stations or alternatively allow out side purchases of digital electronic plug in Antennas from approved outside vendor.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Perry Law



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

06/06/2019 04:12

BK
127

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SCI filed:	Rockview	Current SCI	Rockview
Grievance #:	792192		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

The final decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals is to uphold the initial response. Uphold the inmate's appeal. Uphold the initial response. This response will include a determination of the conclusion and action taken to resolve the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that the DOC is receiving kickbacks and committing financial fraud. You allege that the DOC is not providing digital television stations or high definition HD through fiber optic wiring cable. You say that SCI Rockview still transmits analog stations locally. You state that you were forced to buy a Eden digital television; however, there are no digital HD fiber optic cable lines to provide clear HD digital cable. You say that you are forced to buy cable service at SCI Rockview because local stations cannot be picked up with the cheap brand of digital antenna that is sold in the commissary. You request to be allowed outside purchase forms to be used for electronic digital plugin antennas to get local stations. You request that an outside antenna is mounted to the rooftops at SCI Rockview so that you can receive local stations. You request that SCI Rockview installs fiber optic cables for high definition stations.

A review of the record found that it is your choice whether or not to purchase a television, and whether or not to subscribe to the provided cable service. No one is forcing you to do either as you claim. The Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed response to your grievance. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:

Keri Moore for

Name:

Keri Moore

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

05/05/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
799317
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR NIKKI PAUL	FACILITY: ROCKVIEW	DATE: 4/29/19
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) ALFONSO PAUL BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: ALFONSO PAUL BT-7263	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: NONE	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1027	
INSTRUCTIONS:		
<p>1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.</p> <p>2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.</p> <p>3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.</p>		
<p>A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.</p> <p>I am grieving John E. Wickett, Tabb Bickell, Shirley Smear (PA.DOC. Policy DC-ADM 803 and Title 37 Pa.Code 93.2(b)(2) in charging inmate correspondence restrictions, obscene or explicit sexual material for inmate consumption enforced by SMART Communications Inc. James Logan, Jen Logan et al as uncensored and sexually explicit to locker (both by enforced and sentence who is heterosexual and kill you NIMT SHOUT NEKK OSARIAN Religion which is black balance and justice and the denial of pornographic magazines in prison until death for heterosexual victims. NIMT Religion principles and religious land use and institutional persons Act 1, 4, 8, 14 PA Code Art 10, Sec 1, 5, 8, 13, 26. I am personally affected by porn magazines denial.</p>		
<p>B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.</p> <p>I sought porn magazines but if I order them SMART Communications Inc. and PA.DOC will confiscate and reject them.</p>		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

SCI

**INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE**

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT7253	Alfonso Paul	BA-1027	5-14-19	799317

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 5-14-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

First I'm appealing the denial of my challenge to DC-ADM 803 and Title 37 Po. Code 93.2(b)(2). I AM legally allowed to file a grievance under Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints which allows me to challenge DOC Policy 803.

Second I appeal Nikki Paul denying my grievance is frivolous. See box B of grievance. It states "I sought press magazine but if I order them Small Communications Inc. and PA. DOC will confiscate and reject them. This is false and factual under DC-ADM 803 this will happen. NIKKI Paul is promising me for filing a grievance by putting frivolous inside what I wrote is false (I) what will happen. See DC-ADM 804 Glossary of Terms - Frivolous Grievance. A grievance is frivolous when it is found that the allegations or relief sought lack request base in law, fact or policy. My grievance is based on fact of what DC-ADM 803 policy forbids promulgating. Nikki Paul frivolous decision should be reversed.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Paul

GRIEVANCE

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 6-10-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Supt. ANSACR. #4

Ames T. Smith

① The Supt. General Facility Manager Arnold Rogers Sec #4 says "When Your Grievance Challenges What Is In The Policy, Then It Is Correctly A Facility Grievance" the Supt. is saying I cannot challenge a Policy with NC ADM 804 Grievance Of course he says - Facilities Grievance - A grievance is facilities when it is based on allegations or relief sought lack equitable basis in law, fact or policy. The Supt. General can interpret what of determining my grievance facilities must be reversed. ② Nicki Paul Facilities determination must be reversed because it does not specifically state how the grievance is facilities. ③ Nicki Paul as Supt. General has denied me NC ADM 804 as to Code Title 37593. ④ Linda Givens (delegated review) My challenge to NC ADM 803 Policy was I can't buy Renaissance magazine because it must be reviewed not that

INMATE SIGNATURE

they were confused. I can challenge Pety DC ADM
803 on what it previously me from attorney by mail
because any other be confused.

(Exhibit #16)



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA 127

06/20/2019 10:50

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Facility:	Rockview	Other Self:	Rockview
Grievance #:	799317		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised Institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

The Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals has reviewed the appeal and the response to the grievance. The response will include a determination of the grievance and the requested relief. The response will also include a determination of the grievance and the requested relief. The response will also include a determination of the grievance and the requested relief.

Response:

You claim that the denial of pornographic magazines by the DOC violated MAAT religious principles, various acts, and your constitutional amendment rights. You request to be able to receive pornographic magazines, as well as magazines that depict nudity.

A review of the record found that DOC policy DC-ADM 803 prohibits nudity and pornography; therefore, such magazines will not be permitted. You fail to provide evidence to substantiate your claims. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

☒ Frivolous:

A grievance is frivolous when the allegations or the relief sought lack any arguable basis in law, fact and/or policy. This applies to your grievance.

Signature:	<i>Veri Moore for</i>
Name:	Veri Moore
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	6/20/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
800-122
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR Nikki Paul	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 5/17/19
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Alfred Few BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Alfred Few	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: None	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1027	
INSTRUCTIONS:		
1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.		
A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.		
I am filing this grievance in good faith. I am grieving Sup't. Mark Berman, Deputies PA-DC John Wetzell, Shirley Smeal, Thibb Bickell and ISWIF Members. This is a grievance challenging Policy 7.8.1 page 1-2 SEC (WGA) + S movies. I am challenging being denied UN-RECEIVED MOVIES. The ISWIFs (inmate movie) from inmate sales. I am being denied UN-RECEIVED MOVIES when the funds belong to inmates. I am personally affected. The PA, Overton and U.S. Constitution is violated by denying UN-RECEIVED MOVIES (the right to receive info).		
B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.		
4.25.19 request slip submitted on 4.29.19 attached (#1)		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

SCI

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7253	ALFONSO RUIZ	BA-1027	5-10-19	800323

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 5-9-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.


I AM APPEALING the grievance rejection.

There is a difference between grievance # 796892 and #800323. In grievance # 796892 I grieved for a official PA DCC answer why NC 17, UNRATED, R-Rated X Rated and other ratings are not allowed by PA DCC and to allow them now.

In grievance #800323 I'm challenging that I followed Policy 7.8.1 page 1.2 Section 1's by requesting the exception from Spt Germain to be permitted UNRATED MOVIE. My request was then denied without a reason rationale that is a different issue than # 796892

The grievance appeal of rejection should not be reviewed by Spt Germain because he is involved in grievance.

INMATE SIGNATURE:



(Exhibit #19)

SCI Final Review
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number BT7253	NAME ALANOKW	HOUSING UNIT K-10	DATE 6-10-19	GRIEVANCE# 800323
-------------------------	-----------------	----------------------	-----------------	----------------------

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____
 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance #1 with 1 attachment
 Grievance Rejection #2.
 Appeal to Supt. #3.
 Supt. Answer #4.

Appeal Issues

① The Supt. Gorman has stated in Facility Managers Appeal Response See #4 "You have clearly been informed that your movies request will not be considered" so I am appealing to John E. Weikel Office SCAG to see if REASON, RATIONALE, explanation why SHANNON Tweed Address B.M. Movies CAN NOT EVEN be given consideration?

② I want John E. Weikel Office SCAG to answer in grievance Appeal why Un-Rated Movies CANNOT be purchased with the inmate funds from I.E.W.F.?

INMATE SIGNATURE: [Signature]



Final Appeal Decision Dismissal

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

06/27/2019 11:56

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Sentenced:	Rockview	Current SGI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	800323		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance was properly presented. The facility level for the reasons outlined below.

Rationale:

- The issue(s) presented on the attached grievance has been reviewed or is currently being reviewed and addressed. Prior Grievance # 796892.

Response: This office concurs with the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore</i>
Name:	Keri Moore
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	6/27/19

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-G

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 800323

PEW, ALFONSO

Page1 of 1

RECEIVED

APR 12 2019

DC-804
Part 1at Rockview
Superintendent's officeCOMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
796889
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR Nikki Paul	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 4/12/19
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) Alfredo Lew BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Alfredo Lew BT-7263	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: NONE	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1027	

INSTRUCTIONS: Adult entertainment access on cable, Tablet or by USB chips to Eden TV

1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system.
2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner.
3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted. be permitted for LIFERS ONLY.

A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.

4-12-19 I AM GRIEVING PA.DOC JOHN WETZEL Shirley Smeal, Tabbs Bickell, CCTV OWNERS and successors to CCTV contract with PA.DOC and Global Tel LINK Tablet owners. I am grieving the contracted cable provider present and future, Tablet provider present and future and PA.DOC. I AM CHALLENGING the BAN of Adult Entertainment availability ON cable subscriber and Tablet purchaser. I request the PA.DOC and CONTRACTORS)

1. allow adult entertainment stations.
2. allow personal Tablet access to adult entertainment.
3. DOC permit USB chips for Eden TV.

AS A LIFER sentenced to die in prison I AM personally affected

B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.

I requested a official reason by the PA.DOC why contractors or PA.DOC won't allow adult entertainment access.

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Nikki Paul
Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Cable

4/12/19
Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

Mr Bick

(Exhibit #22)

SCI-ROCKVIEW

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT7263	ALFONSO RUIZ	1027	4-30-19	796889

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 4-25-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

- ① I am identifying for Correctional Institution Business Manager 3 on Appeal to Supr. Office that the PA DOC Level John E. Wiles, Sheryl Smen, Tebb Bickell (with CCTV INC. OWNERS and their contract successors along with GlobeTel Link Tablette providers OWNERS are blocking me as a (Det/ISS) inmate who is sentenced to (DIE) IN PRISONMENT from receiving "Adult Entertainment".
 - ② My personal INCELESTEN TV has USB capability the PA DOC can alternatively allow me personal USB Adult Entertainment.
 - ③ PA DOC and GlobeTel Link could allow personal access to buy Adult Entertainment on my personal tablet in cell.
 - ④ PA DOC and CCTV INC or their successors in contract can permit Adult Entertainment Stations in cable service.
- INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Ruiz

(Exhibit # 23)

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alonso Ruiz	Rockview	5-31-19	796889

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 5-28-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.
Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance Pink Copy #1
Grievance Answer #2 Grievance Appeal #3

Appeal Answer #4
I am naming Business Manager Beck and
Sgt. Conway in this Appeal. I challenge the
decision of Lifes having access to Adult
Entertainment Movies either through
Cable Provider, GTL Tablet Service or
USB Chip for Eden TV.

The AOC can provide this service
to Lifes by any one of the three
ways I expect G's Decision to be
made at the PA. AOC executive
level with full explanation why there
is a ban on Adult Entertainment.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alonso Ruiz

Exhibit #24
127



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

06/27/2019 11:59

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SOI Filed:	Rockview	Current SOI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	796889		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

In the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate or uphold the part/whole in part. This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issues raised in the grievance and your appeal, and other relevant points.

Response:

You say that you are challenging the ban of adult entertainment availability to cable subscribers and tablet purchasers. You request to be allowed adult entertainment stations. You request to be able to access adult entertainment on your tablet. You request that the DOC permit USB chips for Eden TV.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed response to your grievance. Pornography and nudity are not permitted within the DOC. What you are permitted to view on your television and/or your tablet are reviewed and approved by Central Office. USB ports are not permitted to be owned by inmates. Your appeal to this office constitutes a group grievance/appeal which is not permitted in accordance with DOC policy DC-ADM 804. Your grievance and all requested relief are denied.

Signature:

Yeri Moore for

Name:

Dr. Yamer

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

6/25/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 796889

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

SCI-ROCKVIEWINMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	A. R. W.	BA 1027	4/23/19	796892

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 4/23/19
and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

1. I AM APPEALING W. K. GRAY CAM ☒ grievance ANSWER. I'M CHALLENGING THAT POLICY 7.8.1 SECTION 1 #5 ESTABLISHES THE MENU BEGON IN 2005 DOES NOT EXPLAIN WHAT DISCONTINUE PREMIUM CABLE STATIONS HAS TO DO WITH INMATE LEWF BEING SPENT ON MOVIES THAT ARE OTHER THAN G, PG, PG-13 RZINGS.
2. I appeal for John Wicket, Shirley Small, Tabbickell, Mark Gervin, Mc Mehan, Houser to allow other ratings than G, PG, PG-13 through out the PA DCC.
3. I offer alternative solutions B Movies such as actress Shannon Tweed films can be purchased by LEWF also unrated movies too as for as X rated and R rated the PA DCC CAN allow the Tablet LEWF to allow LIFERS to purchase them only at LIFERS EXPENSE ON personal Tablets.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alferio Perry Law

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7253	Alfonso R. Ricketts	Rockview	5-31-19	795892

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 5-22-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Original Grievance #1
Grievance Answer #2
Appeal To Supt. #3
Supt. Answer #4

1. In Appeal I challenge John Wikel, Shirley Smerl, Tabh Bickell, Mr. Gary, Dep. Mc Morrow, Dep. Hurrell, Heather Haldeman, Mark Czerny dated to allow I.E.W.F. to show movies other than G, PG, PG, 13 to Lifers without a alternative way for Lifers to view movies other than G, PG, PG, 13.

2. The grievance answer uses Policy 7.8.1. but never shows how Policy 7.8.1. is for a valid Security Concern therefore I challenge that there is no P.A. A.D.C. security concern for only showing G, PG, PG 13 movies because the P.A. A.D.C. has not stated so nor shown so I have not been given a real reason for the P.A. A.D.C. ban on other ratings being shown on I.E.W.F. movies

INMATE SIGNATURE:

Alfonso R. Ricketts

(Exhibit #27)
127

Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BK

07/03/2019 12:40

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SOL Filed:	Rockview	Original SOL:	Rockview
Grievance #:	796892		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised Institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

This is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to Uphold the initial response to your grievance. Inmate's or Inmate's appeal/answer is not. This response will include a detailed explanation of the reasons for the decision and any action taken to resolve the issues raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that as a lifer, it is vital to your communication, writing, reading, education, religion rehabilitation, mental stability, and heterosexual gender identity to be able to view movies other than G, PG13, and PG rated. You want an official answer as to why NC-17, unrated, R rated, X rated, and other ratings are not allowed. You request movies other than G, PG, and PG13.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer provided you with a detailed explanation to your grievance. Since 2005, such movies as you request have been banned from the facilities. You fail to provide evidence as to how your communication, writing, reading, education, religion, rehabilitation, mental stability, and heterosexual gender identity would benefit from having pornography available. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	D. Varner
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	07/03/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 796892

PEW, ALFONSO

Page1 of 1

FOR OFFICIAL USE
795589
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

DC-804
Part 1

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR NIKKI PAUL	FACILITY: Rockview	DATE: 4/5/19
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) ALLEN KEO BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Allen KEO BT-7263	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: NONE	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: B.A. 1027	
INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.		
<p>A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8 1/2" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.</p> <p>I am grievance PA. DOC John E. Wetzel, Executive Sergeant Smart Communications, Inc. over repeated violations of privacy by incoming mail being opened, read and given to wrong people. On Friday April 5th, 2019 at Mail time I was given by Officer two cash slips one for \$50 to Heinz Lehner and two was from Sp. GA. - 1.15 they recorded 4/12/19 and 4/13/19 I ask was that all my mail and Officer said yeah. Later about 4:50 PM after Court a inmate came to my cell from # 1016 and gave me my mail that was given to him. See Affidavit #1 Evidence. This problem began all over SA and Rockview and the PA DOC since Smart Communications to support my claim I received 5 pieces photo copies of different inmates mail just a week ago I gave back this DC-ADM 804 Policy of photo copies not to be out of closed envelopes & is turning me I don't know what could be stolen by unknown inmates and staff reading open copies that are not collected inside envelopes. I am requesting to be made closed envelopes and a proper prison like before using drug detecting technology for security.</p> <p>B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.</p> <p>I have repeatedly ask PA, DOC to use Smart Technology as a alternative by using white light on mail to detect saboxn and k-2 which saves my security need while allowing me original mail sent to prison.</p>		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator _____ Date 4/8/19

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy CANARY File Copy PINK Action Return Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

SCI-ROCKVIEW

INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
B07263	A. A. A. A.	BA 1027	5/8/19	795585

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 5-4-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

- ① I requested Security Interview my witness in 1016 the grievance coordinator did not do this so the investigation of grievance was not done. My witness is Roland Williams N11 2781 I'm going to appeal this claim to SDIGA because I have a Affidavit from Roland Williams sure Security did not investigate.
- ② The grievance was assigned to Mr. Burne however the CSA did the appeal. This is inappropriate.
- ③ The CSA says "It is possible that evidence can occur and mail be delivered to the wrong cell on occasion." I presented a witness but this witness was not interviewed.
- ④ I appeal the CSA saying in the only grievance on this issue because that is not true Smart Communications Inc has volume of grievances on the mail.
- ⑤ The issue of photocopy open mail in view because there is no envelopes was not even addressed.
- ⑥ The photocopy mail is read there is nothing to block the photocopies from being seen and read.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfredo Rios

(Exhibit #30)

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 7263	Alfonso R. Rockview		5-31-19	795585

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 5-31-19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Pink Copy Grievance with #1 Evidence Attached See Marked #1
Grievance Answer #2
Append to Supt. #3
Supt Answer #4

- ① I am having an Appeal John Weikel, Smart Communications Inc., Nikkei Paul Mark Gannon I was denied DC ADM 804 and PA Code Title 37 § 93.8 Inmate Complaints due process not unless was denied Roland Williams NH-2781 making the Grievance Procedure unfair and a sham.
- ② I am enclosing Roland Williams Affidavit Declaration to SOIGA #5
- ③ The Supt says "the possibility mail may have been delivered to wrong cell" and Nikkei Paul says "it is possible that an envelope with mail be delivered to wrong cell or a session". I should be upheld inmate.
- ④ I challenge Smart Communications Inc. electronically printing mail and offering inmate access to it with NO protected envelopes which causes mail to be read and mis delivered without envelopes.

INMATE SIGNATURE

(Exhibit #31)
127

Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

07/11/2019 04:37

BA

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
Scheduled:	Rockview	Current Cell:	Rockview
Grievance #:	795585		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that on 4/5/2019, another inmate brought a letter to you that had been delivered to him instead of you. You allege that this is a problem that has been happening all over BA, SCI Rockview, and the DOC since Smart Communications was contracted with. You say that you have also received other inmate's mail at times. You request that you and your witness receive personal interviews by the Security Office. You request that your grievance is upheld because your mail was given to the wrong inmate. You request that DOC policy DC-ADM 803 is changed.

A review of the record found that Smart Communications scans inmate mail to the facilities, who in turn print mail out and distribute it to inmates. Smart Communications has nothing to do with the actual distribution of inmate mail. While it is feasible that there could be a mail-deliver error, there is no evidence that this happens "all over BA and Rockview and the PA DOC" as you allege. There is also no evidence that staff read inmate mail. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	Keri Moore
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	07/11/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

↑↑↑

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 795585

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

(Exhibit #32)

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BCJ263	Alfonso Rodriguez	BX 1027	7/28/19	81939

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 7/28/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

IM APPEALING MRS. WALDEMAN ANSWER that Deputy McMahon did.

① My Appeal issue is Laundry S.O.T. RECORDS show Ms. Alfonso Unit Manager B.B and EC. Black ORDERED and received stolen from Laundry SUPERVISOR and this could be Deputy McMahon statement because this was in June/July 2019.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Rodriguez

GRIEVANCE

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

GRIEVANCE PINK Copy and #1 attached
Exhibit A). Grievance Involves Exhibit B
Appeal to Spt (Exhibit C) Spt. Answer
(Exhibit D).

The named parties to this SCSIA appeal is
 Mr. [Name] Dep. McMahon Sgt. [Name]
 (Exhibit B) Undervalued parts the Dep.
 McMahon goes on to say the practice of
 purchasing clothes ones started well over 4
 years ago. When I appeared and disclosed
 this with my evidence See Exhibit C
 highlighted the Sgt. [Name] does not
 address in his answer to Appeal my
 dispute that BB, BC Unit Manager
 Alexander received clothing from Laundry
 Supervisor June/July 2019 See Sgt. [Name]
 Exhibit D this was not addressed
 See DC IAM 204 Section 2 (D) "All
 appeal points raised by the union shall
 be addressed." @ for the purpose of this SCSIA
 appeal the Laundry Supervisor Sgt. [Name]
 Mr. [Name] his logs of charges have recorded
 laundry going to BB, BC ordered by WIM
 Alexander.

INMATE SIGNATURE:



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

09/03/2019 12:52

BA

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SC Filed:	Rockview	Current SC:	Rockview
Grievance #:	810439		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

This is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response. Uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part, Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issues raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You state that the laundry line in your cell broke and staff will not replace it. You request to have your grievance upheld, or to have another laundry line ordered.

A review of the record found that the Inmate Handbook states that "Unless approved, nothing is to be affixed to the bars, vents, windows, beds/bunks, walls, or cell doors". Clotheslines are not permitted; therefore, it was recommended that you utilize the laundry services provided. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	<i>Keri Moore for</i>
Name:	Keri Moore
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	09/03/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 810439

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

(Exhibit # 35)

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 7253	ALFRED R. BOKVIA		8/27/19	802993

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Remand Notice attached #1

Remand Answer attached #2

- The named parties to this Appeal are: Corcoran, B. J., Warden, Unit Manager, Clerk, Major, Alderman, Prison Officer L. Wood, Visiting Officer, Gary, Don McMahon, Sgt. Gorman. The revised Remand Answer #2. See Overhead for is in ink pen admit 13 days with the phone list and 18 days with the Visiting list. I each should be uphold inmate on this delay.
- I appeal the finding determination by Sgt. Gorman See NC AM 804 Section 1(5)(F) if the grievance is deemed frivolous, the prison must include a statement setting forth the reasons. See NC AM 804 Ch. 1 of 1. Frivolous Grievance: A grievance is frivolous when it is found that the allegations or the relief sought lack any reasonable basis or are not good and true. See #2 attached which stated it violates policy. 37 B Code 93.8. I think Corcoran is being violated by Prisoner #2. Grievance by Prisoner Frivolous when it is not frivolous by Prisoner DC AM 804.

INMATE SIGNATURE: _____

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW

GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 7283	ALANSON K	Rockview	6/26/19	802493

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 6/26/19 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

1. I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 6/26/19 and have the following appeal issues.
 2. I was up held in my cell and not allowed to see U/M Clerk.
 3. I am appealing to have SCIR Unit process terms in 1 week because my grievance issue should be applied to all inmates here at SCIR so it will not happen again to others or me there should be a Mon or policy of DCG. 4. The Sup. German did not address issue of my Appeal in his response so I am providing SCIR with Exhibit E from Cor Woods visiting Desk I am requesting a demand to Sup. German to have Cor Woods fix and address issue of my Appeal to Sup. German. 5. I request SCIR John Weibel discontinue U/M Clerk Counselor Baumgardner and Major Helmsman's response that he the director of administration is not responsible for my phone list and visiting list.

INMATE SIGNATURE

(Exhibit #37)

SCI
INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BF7263	Alfonso R	BA-1027	6/11/19	802993

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on _____ and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

- ① This is my Proof the grievance process is corrupt and a sham. On 5-30-19 I and Counselor Dixon was called into Ms. Clark's Office from 1:35 pm to 1:37 pm. B-A Unit Tier 1 Counselor and Counselor Dixon can verify this Proof UIM Clark had this grievance #802993 and she said Major Heather Haldeman wanted me to sign off. Major Heather Haldeman was doing this grievance for Deputy because Deputy was away for the week. It was another case of H. Haldeman and UIM Clark covering up.
- ② The Proof that this is malicious intent is not a isolated incident with Ms. Clark she has done this with inmate GORDON on BA obstructing his visiting list with duplicate phone list. ③ The phone list was not addressed by Grievance Area because we a Counselor signed it 5-1-19 there was no reason UIM Clark should have had it but she did for UIM is not required for phone list. Arrived only Counselor and Steve Rame.
- ④ Now because of this grievance on retaliation Allan Burrill who was born 10/31/70 is being placed on the visiting list this is on purpose to harass me they say he was confused. I'm appealing to you on the grounds its another person they have Allan F. Burrill Jr. that is a different person and Allan F. Burrill Jr. was not born 10/31/70. I did not put Allan F. Burrill Jr. on my list. I want this fixed. INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso R
- born 10/31/70 on my visiting list and I want to be upheld inmate on the delay of visiting list and uphold inmate on the delay of visiting list and holding the terms that were given to Counselor Baumgardner.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-A

(Exhibit #38)

Form DC-135A

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Corrections

INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete items number 1-8. If you follow instructions in preparing your request, it can be responded to more promptly and intelligently.

1. To: (Name and Title of Officer)

2. Date:

3. By: (Print Inmate Name and Number)

4. Counselor's Name

5. Unit Manager's Name

6. Work Assignment

7. Housing Assignment

8. Subject: State your request completely but briefly. Give details.

my Counselor Baumgardner says that on 5-1-19 my (new phone) add on list was signed and sent in to you. It has been 17 days writing. I never received a copy of my authorized phone add on list. I would like confirmation of my 5-1-19 phone add on list.

9. Response: (This Section for Staff Response Only)

I haven't received anything from you in either April or so far in May.

You will need to resubmit the form to your counselor.

To DC-14 CAR only ☐To DC-14 CAR and DC-15 IRS ☐

Staff Member Name

Print

Sign

Date



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
1920 Technology Parkway
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

10/01/2019 12:50

BA127

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SGI Filed:	Rockview	Current SGI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	802993		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

The decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate or uphold inmate deny, uphold the response will include a brief rationale. Submitting the decision, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You claim that you had submitted add-ons for your phone list and visiting list, and Counselor Baumgardner gave the forms to Unit Manager Clark. You allege that Unit Manager Clark, Counselor Baumgardner, and Major Haldeman are retaliating against you by holding onto your add-on forms. You request for your grievance to be upheld, and for your forms to be processed in a timely manner.

A review of the record found that the Facility Manager's Remanded Appeal Response provides you with a detailed response to the investigation into your claims. There is no evidence that you forms were not processed in a timely manner. There is no evidence of retaliation or that staff were unnecessarily holding onto your visitation and telephone add-on request forms. A timeframe does not exist in which such forms are to be processed in DOC policy. Your grievance and requested relief are denied.

Signature:	Keri Moore for
Name:	D. Valdez
Title:	Chief Grievance Officer
Date:	10/1/19

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 802993

PEW, ALFONSO

Page 1 of 1

(Exhibit #40)

DC-804
Part 1COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONSFOR OFFICIAL USE
8302311
GRIEVANCE NUMBER

OFFICIAL INMATE GRIEVANCE

TO: FACILITY GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR KENNETH BRUBAKER	FACILITY: SCT-R	DATE: 2/12/20
FROM: (INMATE NAME & NUMBER) ALFRED KAW BT-7263	SIGNATURE OF INMATE: Alfred Kaw BT-7263	
WORK ASSIGNMENT: None	HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: BA-1025	
INSTRUCTIONS: 1. Refer to the DC-ADM 804 for procedures on the inmate grievance system. 2. State your grievance in Block A in a brief and understandable manner. 3. List in Block B any action you may have taken to resolve this matter. Be sure to include the identity of staff members you have contacted.		
<p>A. Provide a brief, clear statement of your grievance. Additional paper may be used, maximum two pages (one DC-804 form and one one-sided 8½" x 11" page). State all relief that you are seeking.</p> <p>This is my 2nd Grievance filed this month of February 2020. I AM FILING this grievance in good faith. I am invoking the Non-Retaliation clause under DC-ADM 804 not to be retaliated against for filing this grievance on B Unit. I am grieving UIM Clerk, Mr. Walden, 2-10 Shift Officers, Sgt. LT and Capt. ON 1/30/20 a BA memo was put up that took the issue of this grievance is discrimination the BA SNU only has 2 kiosk. The CA, CB has 6 total kiosk also A and B block have more kiosk. The unit is being mismanaged by this and is a TREATMENT because UIM Clerk, Mr. Walden, Sgt. LT, Capt and Officers 2-10 not only stepped the time</p>		
<p>B. List actions taken and staff you have contacted, before submitting this grievance.</p> <p>I asked that the Memo be rescinded OR INCREASE to 6 kiosk total.</p>		

Your grievance has been received and will be processed in accordance with DC-ADM 804.

Signature of Facility Grievance Coordinator

Date

WHITE Facility Grievance Coordinator Copy
GOLDEN ROD Inmate Copy

CANARY File Copy

PINK Action Return Copy

(Exhibit # 41)

**INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE**

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-7263	Alfonso	BA 1025	2/18/20	850311

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 2/18/20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I AM APPEALING the GRIEVANCE REJECTION ON the following grounds:

① The Central Office SOTGA Office has already determined I CAN GRIEVE a MEMO that has NOT yet WENT INTO effect refer to #795184 which WAS a E-cigarette MEMO.

INMATE SIGNATURE: 

INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-263	ALFONSO R. ROCKVIEW	Rockview	3/19/20	850311

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 3/11/20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.
 Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Attached # 1 IS GRIEVANCE.
 Attached # 2 IS CONTINUED GRIEVANCE.
 Attached # 3 IS GRIEVANCE REJECTION FORM.
 Attached # 4 IS GRIEVANCE APPEAL TO Supt.
 Attached # 5 IS Supt Appeal ANSWER.

My APPEAL ISSUES ARE:

1. This comes down to whether I can appeal a Memo or a Policy that has not started yet. First in my 28 years in PA DOC since 1992 I have in my grievance files records grievances challenging Policy that has not started yet. Each Policy of PA DOC has a Issue Date and a Effective Date the time between the two dates allows me to challenge a new Policy before it becomes effective so the grievance ~~challenge~~ Nicki Paul who is new is wrong.
2. The Superintendent is wrong too he contradicts himself this SOIGA Office did a final review of #795184 on 7-24-19 D. Veener the #795184 was about E Cigarettes I grieved the Memo before sales were effective so this proves you allow grievances before the Memo or Policy actually begins.
3. While this grievance was going through the appeal stages on D block I found there are even more than 6 that I seek Remand to SCT-R based on my evidence in record.
4. I request SOIGA to put in final review answer where DC-ADM 804 forbid me from filing this grievance?

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso R. Rockview

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-E



→ **Final Appeal Decision Dismissal** ←

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

→ 04/07/2020 10:00 ←

Inmate Name:	PEW, ALFONSO	DOC #:	BT7263
SCI Filed:	Rockview	Current SCI:	Rockview
Grievance #:	850311		

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review for the grievance identified above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, this Office has reviewed all documents provided as part of the grievance record. Upon consideration of the entire record, it is the decision of this office to dismiss your appeal to final review due to a failure to comply with the provisions of the DC-ADM 804, as specified below.

Decision: Dismiss

Your grievance was properly rejected at the facility level for the reason(s) outlined below.

Rationale:

- Grievance does not indicate that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy.
- Grievances based upon different events must be presented separately.

Response:

This office concurs with the decisions of the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager. You were not personally affected because the memo concerned a matter that would not occur until March of 2020. Your grievance was dated February 12, 2020. Additionally, your grievance concerns separate issues.

Signature:

Name:

→ *Keri Moore for*
 → D. Varner ←

Title:

Chief Grievance Officer

Date:

04/07/20

cc: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

SCI

**INMATE APPEAL TO FACILITY MANAGER
GRIEVANCE**

Inmate Number	NAME	HOUSING UNIT	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT 7253	Alfonso R.	DTU B29	3/26/20	851500

I received my initial response from the Grievance Office/Coordinator on 3/25/20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I AM appealing W. SIEVER and N. PEU
GRIEVANCE ANSWER. Appeal Issues

- ① See my grievance nothing in my grievance says Bbck lights ON second floor too bright this is part the grievance procedure at SCLR is inadequate W. Siever made this up because the process is not fair.
- ② See my grievance I challenged the new 2417 Lights Brightness and continuous use on B UNIT A Side.
- ③ The grievance answer admits using new LED bulbs switching from fluorescent bulbs however W. Siever does not give the wattage between these two bulbs so the grievance answer is incomplete I request Supt answer the wattage that is NO fluorescent bulb and wattage in LED bulb on BA UNIT. ④ I still challenge LED bulbs being left on after night count is done at 9pm and definitely after 10pm when other units and prisons in PA. lights go out on unit this violates equal protection clause.
- ⑤ I appeal Frivolous determination under DC-ADM 804 it does not meet criteria of Frivolous by glossary.
- ⑥ I seek damages and decreased wattage and no lights at night.

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso R.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 – Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-A

**INMATE APPEAL TO FINAL REVIEW
GRIEVANCE**

INMATE NUMBER	NAME	FACILITY	DATE	GRIEVANCE#
BT-1263	Anthony Penn	Rockyview	4-17-20	851500

I received my appeal from the Superintendent on 4-15-20 and have the following appeal issues.

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions. Appeals must relate to the issue presented in the initial grievance and 1st level appeal.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

Grievance Final Copy #1, Initial Review Response #2, Facility Manager Appeal #3, Facility Manager Answer #4. Appeal Issues:

1. Named Parties in this grievance are Maintenance and Electrician Department, C.I. MW11 Wade Stevens, N. Paul Supt. McGovern, UIM Clerk May. Holzman, Dep. McNabhan, Dep. Houser I am challenging 24/7 LED Bulbs constant Light Illumination as a exaggerated false Security Issue need.

2. The Unit BA use to cut the same lights off from 10pm to 6:00am shift they are left on now because of Unit Officers choice and staff abuse, punishment coercion tactics and torture proof of this is on Level 5 Housing @ Unit the lights are cut off each night 10pm to 6am and @ Unit is near the gate of the prison so why would BA be a Security issue when it's not Level 5?

INMATE SIGNATURE

3. I appeal frivolous determination under DCADM 804 GLASSER of TERMS the frivolous must be based on not being treated or not having bases

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals

Issued: 1/26/2016

Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-E

IN Law, fact or policy. This is not stated or only says because it's a security issue lights are on that's not a reason for frivolous



Final Appeal Decision

Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances & Appeals
 Pennsylvania Department of Corrections
 1920 Technology Parkway
 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

BA

05/04/2020 02:18

Inmate Name: PEW, ALFONSO DOC #: BT7263
 SCI Filed: Rockview Current SCI: Rockview
 Grievance #: 851500

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals for the grievance noted above. In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Policy, the following response is being provided based on a review of the entire record of this grievance. The review included your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal to the Facility Manager, the Facility Manager's response, the issues you raised to final review, and (when applicable) any revised institutional responses required as a result of a subsequent remand action by this office. As necessary, input from appropriate Central Office Bureaus (e.g., Health Care Services, Chief Counsel, Office of Special Investigations and Intelligence, etc) may have been solicited in making a determination in response to your issue as well.

Decision: Uphold Response

It is the decision of the Secretary's Office of Inmate Grievances and Appeals to uphold the initial response, uphold the inmate, or Uphold in part/Deny in part. This response will include a brief rationale, summarizing the conclusion, any action taken to resolve the issue(s) raised in the grievance and your appeal and relief sought.

Response:

You state that the constant light illumination on BA unit is "excessive high beam increased florescent light" constitutes light torture, light interrogation, and light coercion which is causing you pain and suffering. You say that your constitutional amendment rights are being violated. You request that the lights are decreased, the wattage is decreased, that the lights are turned off from 10:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m., \$50,000.00 each, and to be moved to the RHU as a D code inmate.

A review of the record found that the Grievance Officer and Facility Manager provided you with detailed responses to your grievance. Constant lighting is necessary for the security of the unit. It was recommended that you submit a sick call request for any medical concerns you are having. You fail to provide any evidence to substantiate your claims. Your grievance and all requested relief are denied.

☒ Frivolous:

There is no violation of policy, procedures, or law. The constant lighting is needed for security measures.

Signature:

Name:

Title:

Date:

Chief Grievance Officer

5/4/20

CC: DC-15/Superintendent - Rockview
 Grievance Office

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual

Section 2 - Appeals, Attachment 2-F

Issued: 1/26/2016 Effective: 2/16/2016

BT7263 Grievance #: 851500

PEW, ALFONSO

Page1 of 1

#881874

74

21910

Refer to DC-ADM 804, Grievance Appeal Procedures, for complete instructions.

Please provide a BRIEF (no longer than two pages) appeal statement.

I AM APPEALING Mayor Selfridge
and Mr. Mayor Selfridge is part
of this grievance violation of my
Constitutional Rights.

April Issues

① The grievance against edmits (marks) are allowed to change after year periods but not activities periods.

② Max & Selbridge leaves out of procedure cause activities include Monday ~~Activities~~ Weights from 1:30 pm to 2:30 pm ~~Weights~~ time to shower but is not allowed to go. Activities include Wednesday Gym 1 weights 8:00 am to 9:00 am the shower time is available but is not allowed to shower.

allowed to smoke.
③ I and my Cohorts who go to weights
and gym can't smoke at all when doing
ON Monday 1:30 to 2:30 and Wednesday

INMATE SIGNATURE: Alfonso Pizarro

INMATE SIGNATURE:

8:00 AM TO 9:00 AM NO showers are
allowed for my cohort group on these
days after exercise.

DC-ADM 804, Inmate Grievance System Procedures Manual
Section 2 – Appeals
Issued: 1/26/2016
Effective: 2/16/2016

Attachment 2-A

④ I appeal Francisco Determination
⑤ There is NO justifiable reason to deny showers